

Discussion: The impact of artificial intelligence on output and inflation

by I. Aldasoro, S. Doerr, L. Gambacorta, and D. Rees

Michaela Elfsbacka-Schmöller

European Central Bank
Bank of Finland

**Conference on the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Macroeconomy
and Monetary Policy, Madrid**

24 October 2024

The opinions expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Central Bank or the Eurosystem.

How does AI adoption affect output, inflation, and sectoral dynamics?

Empirical and theoretical approach:

- Construct industry-level AI exposure index
- Calibrated (US) multi-sector model (AI as productivity shifter; (un)anticipated scenarios)

Key Findings:

- Upward shift of GDP (+35% in long run)
- Initially disinflationary, then inflationary (unanticipated)/ inflationary (anticipated)
- Low correlation between initial sectoral AI exposure and long-run output increase
 - Role of sectoral interlinkages: significant amplification of output gains when AI has relatively stronger impact on consumption vs. investment goods sectors

How does AI adoption affect output, inflation, and sectoral dynamics?

Empirical and theoretical approach:

- Construct industry-level AI exposure index
- Calibrated (US) multi-sector model (AI as productivity shifter; (un)anticipated scenarios)

Key Findings:

- Upward shift of GDP (+35% in long run)
- Initially disinflationary, then inflationary (unanticipated)/ inflationary (anticipated)
- Low correlation between initial sectoral AI exposure and long-run output increase
 - Role of sectoral interlinkages: significant amplification of output gains when AI has relatively stronger impact on consumption vs. investment goods sectors

Policy Implications:

- **"Goldilocks" scenario** (imperfect anticipation) for **monetary policy**: AI alleviates short-term inflation pressures; monetary contraction over the longer-term.
- AI adoption policies should focus on consumption goods sectors.

My take

Very interesting paper, studies key questions for monetary policy:

1. How is the adoption of AI technologies going to affect longer-term output growth?
2. What are the inflation implications of AI adoption?

My comments focus mainly on:

1. Additional, unaccounted for channels
2. Alternative mechanisms and paths of key variables:
 - Labor-augmenting vs. displacing AI, unemployment, distributional consequences
 - Potentially very different outcomes for wages, inflation, and TFP
 - Implications for monetary policy may be fundamentally different → highly uncertain policy outcome
3. Suggestions, additional analysis

Inconclusive Evidence on the Productivity Effects of AI

- Ad-hoc assumption of 1.5 annual TFP growth (based on other studies), assumption that this additional growth prevails for a decade.
- Literature inconclusive on the productivity effects of AI, big range of plausible estimates.
- Unclear if this effect will be evenly distributed across sectors.
- Debate on if the expectations about the productivity effect has been exaggerated (→ e.g, technology "hype cycle").
- Key input in this model, if this changes, so do inflation dynamics and the implications for monetary policy stressed in the paper.
- Uncertainty around this could be more discussed in the paper → more detailed scenario analysis regarding the productivity effects of AI?

AI and Labor Market Heterogeneity

- AI adoption modeled as a large (permanent) technology shock, with interesting sectoral transmission and propagation.
- Labor market heterogeneity is though crucial, arguably especially for the case of AI.
- Ultimately predominantly an occupational question:
 - Whose productivity is going to increase?
 - Crucial: is this effect labor-augmenting or displacing?
 - Possibility of unemployment (potentially large-scale)
- No clear mapping between exposure measure and worker productivity: high exposure may mean large productivity gains or displacement (productivity = 0).

Mapping between Productivity Growth and Inflation

- Productivity increases may translate into wage gains for some occupations, and unemployment for others → Impact on wages and inflation depends on the balance of these effects.
- Possible divergence between productivity and the output gap (unemployment).
- Demand-effects depend also on MPCs of affected workers.
 - AI-induced technological change not only confined to low wage workers.
- Distributional of gains from AI between workers vs. firms? → markups?
- Possibility of persistent unemployment, wages ↓, demand ↓

Mapping between Productivity Growth and Inflation

- Productivity increases may translate into wage gains for some occupations, and unemployment for others → Impact on wages and inflation depends on the balance of these effects.
- Possible divergence between productivity and the output gap (unemployment).
- Demand-effects depend also on MPCs of affected workers.
 - AI-induced technological change not only confined to low wage workers.
- Distributional of gains from AI between workers vs. firms? → markups?
- Possibility of persistent unemployment, wages ↓, demand ↓
- **Implications for monetary policy** may shift potentially differ drastically: subdued inflation, challenge of aligning inflation with target from below.
- Potential cross-country heterogeneities: role of adverse euro area demographics (f.ex. Jones (2021)).

General Purpose Technology and R^*

Do the simulations model a general purpose technology or rather a permanent technology shock?

Definition of GPT: fundamental innovation characterized by three key properties:

Brynjolfsson et al. (2023), Helpman (1998).

1. **Pervasiveness**: Used across multiple sectors
2. **Continuous improvement**: Evolves and improves over time
3. **Innovation spawning**: Enables creation of new innovations

Examples: electricity, steam power, internal combustion engine, computing/semiconductors, internet

Macro and monetary policy implications:

- **Growth** rather than level effect
- Transition to a new BGP with higher growth rate
- $g \uparrow \Rightarrow R^* \uparrow$

\Rightarrow **Alters monetary policy implications** over the short-term (transition) and long-term (BGP)

Sectoral Dynamics and Structural Change

- Aggregate TFP effects are ultimately also going to depend on the share of high-productivity vs. low productivity sectors (→ Domar weights).
 - Influences also cost pressures.
- Impact on the speed of structural change: if you increase one sectors' productivity, reallocation of resources from this sector to low productivity sectors may occur (Ngai and Pissarides (2006)).
- Could the interesting sectoral structure of the model be exploited more here?
- Important also against the background that monetary policy induces sectoral reallocation across sectors and can accelerate the speed of structural change (Amador and Elfsbacka-Schmöller (2024))

Further suggestions

- Utilize more the interesting sectoral dynamics:
 - Highlight more the propagation across sectors and industries, to emphasize the strengths and valuable additional channels inherent to the framework more
 - Dissect the different mechanisms at play (additional simulations; analytical results; importance of sectoral interlinkages)
- Exploit model-inherent household heterogeneity (f.ex. as to marginal propensity to consume etc.)?
- Extend analysis to natural rate?
- Simulations under alternative AI-induced TFP growth scenarios
- Discussion section (alternative channels and trajectories)

Conclusion

- Very interesting paper on the sectoral transmission of AI adoption on growth and inflation, and its implications for monetary policy.
- Additional simulations and discussion of key model-inherent mechanisms could provide further interesting insights.
- Highlights also the importance of understanding implications of AI adoption for monetary policy more generally.