Ticket Reference	Summary	BOD	Criticality	Impacted Module	Target Delivery date in PROD
PBI000000224301	PBI-224301 - [wrong GUI filter results] RTGS Sub-Account Liquidity: Search result list of messages after choosing from List of settled debit AS Transfer Orders shows camt.054 not related to chosen pain.998	Regarding the forwarding criterion from List/Details of Cash Transfer(s) screen in RTGS for the context menu Display Message, the GUI and backend specification, GUI mapping description, data model and software implementation needs to be adapted for outbound messages. The UHB has to be edited accordingly. Affected screens in RTGS: List of Cash Transfers,Details of Cash Transfer, Query Messages,List of Messages, Details of Message.	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16
PBI000000224841	PBI-224841 - DRCF010 creating a rule for camt.025	In order to create message subscription rule set for CRDM messages, the check of the service has been disabled. To subscribe such messages, customers need now to specify T2S as a Service.	Medium	CRDM	2024/06/08
PBI000000226571	PBI-226571 - assistance regarding error DPU4021 see attached template	The business rule DPU4021 does not allow the update of Party Service Link U2A-only flag to TRUE if there are existing and active Report Configurations (among other objects). In fact, this should be possible if the Report Configuration 'Push Mode' is set to FALSE meaning that an A2A setup is not required. The internal validation related to the business rule will be updated. Impacted Object: Party Service Link. No impact on T2S (non-T2S object) or TIPS (validation not applied for TIPS).	Medium	CRDM	2024/06/08
PBI000000231659	Details of Task Queue - Column 'Old value' does not display the previous amount for task types 'Change Credit Line Fixed Amount' and 'Increase/Decrease Credit Line Delta Amount'.	**1. Business Scenario Description:** When changing the credit line for a delta or for a fixed amount via the GUI a task is generated, in which some respective information is stored. **2. Issue Description:** The column Old Value for the task types Change Credit Line Fixed Amount, Decrease Credit Line Delta Amountō and Increase Credit Line Delta Amount displays the value 0.00 EUR irrespective of the true value. **3. Workaround:** No workaround is available **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** This bug happens every time a credit line is changed. This is purely a visual bug and it has no effect on the handling of the credit line change but may lead to a wrong assumption about the previous situation. **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	CLM	2024/11/16
PBI000000231708	Inter-Service LT; Busi-ness case not closed, autom. LT wrongly triggered, no camt.025.	**1. Business Scenario Description:** In case of an inter-service LT submitted in CLM no automated LT should be triggered if neither seizure of funds reservations nor credit line is pending. **2. Issue Description:** An automated LT was wrongly triggered which led to the fact that the business transaction of the inter-service LT remained open in CLM. **3. Workaround:** manual update of the business transaction via LLI **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** see above **6. Required SW change:** yes , a change is required when checking whether an automated LT is necessary	High	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000227378	PBI-227378 - Behaviour related to the List of Cash Transfer Order Totals by Status screen in T2 RTGS EAC	The screen 'List of Cash Transfer Order Subtotals by Status' are both affected, in RTGS and CLM. The specification and the UHB both demand the display of the percent sign ('%'), which is currently not shown in the GUI.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000231764	Outbound messages camt.025 (with E018) have been sent via the external channel instead of the internal channel	Under analysis	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000227615	PBI-227615 - Incorrect pacs.008 received containing an incorrect <MsgDefIdr>pacs.008.001.08 CORE. This type which does not exist.	Message validation rule not correctly set up, applying validation rules for pacs.009 instead of pacs.008.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000231796	Exception in processing of automated pull LT	**1. Business Scenario Description:** An automated LT was sent from CLM to RTGS: After the successful settlement an internal camt.050 is created and sent out to RTGS. **2. Issue Description:** During the outbound processing of the internal camt.050 the processing abends due to an technical error. **3. Workaround:** No **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** In the receiving service of the outbound camt.025 the related business transaction remains open and needs to be updated manually. **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000227838	PBI-227838 - AS A batch-Guarantee mechanism not found in the Query "AS batch liquidity summary for Guarantee fund mechanism"	While the Algo 4 starts, all cash transfer orders of an AS batch in procedure B are switching to cash transfer status "Earmarked" before next settlement attempt. This happens at every Algo 4 start. The screen currently displays "Queued" cash transfer orders only and therefore, just before start of the Algo, the result list is empty. However, also the earmarked cash transfer orders of AS batches in procedure B must be taken into consideration as unsettled cash transfer orders in this screen.	Medium	RTGS	2025/06/14
PBI000000227921	PBI-227921 - CAMT.050 settled time - explanation	LT⊦s received in CRTI are parked and rejected instead of parked and processed at RESO. Workaround: Send LTs before CRTI.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000231826	T2-EAC: RESO-EUR event stuck	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Standing order liquidity transfers between CLM and T2S should either be executed with the original amount or with a pro rata rate. **2. Issue Description:** Currently there is an issue in determining the pro rata rate which leads to the fact that the settlement amounts increase the original amounts and are too hugh with more than 25 digits. Hence the processing abended because T2S is not able to process such high amounts and the transit accounts between CLM and T2S are misaligned. **3. Workaround:** Deletion of all standing order LTs between CLM and T2S in TGPY242_B on EAC environment to not execute those LTs **4. TARGET Services concerned:** CLM **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Standing order LTs are not settlend when CESO is triggered. Misalignment of transit acocunts **6. Required SW change:** Change in the determination of pro rata rate	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000227993	PBI-227993 - ECONS II- TASK QUEUE MENU LIST sort by amount	A ECONS II user is querying the TASK queue menu list, sorting the entries by amount field. -Description of the Issue: the sorting filter is incorrectly applied on the displayed entries. No workaround, GUI issue. Only ECONS II is impacted	Medium	ECONS II	2024/06/08

ID	Title	Description	Priority	Service	Date
PBI000000231908	GUI.UC.0060 - Query and Display cash account liquidity_dashboard (CLM) - Account selection - wrong behaviour of Multi-Smart-Select Switchable elements	**1. Business Scenario Description:** At U2A Screen of CLM Screen Query and Display cash account Liquidity dashboard it should be possible to enter an account manualy or select via pop up. **2. Issue Description:** The behavior of this screen element "Multi-Smart-select Switchable" is not as expected: When clicking into the field afterwards, the account selection pop-up opens directly. The user has no possibility to enter an account number manually into the text box of the element, instead. **3. Workaround:** This is possible only after an account has been selected by using the account selection pop-up before. **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** no **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000228228	PBI-228228 - [Multi-Currency] RTGS, Select Business Sender Party cannot handle identical account BICs	The same account BIC in RTGS cannot be used for different currencies. Workaround: Use different account BICs for different currencies.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000231927	We then set up a rule-based liquidity transfer order for floor breach from the RTGS DCA of the PB to the CLM MCA. However, no rule-based liquidity transfer order was received from RTGS DCA to the CLM MCA.	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Creation of a floor automated liquidity transfer after the settlement of a payment for a cash account which has an automated LT liquidity transfer and a floor notification configured. **2. Issue Description:** If a floor notification (camt.004) and a floor pull liquidity transfer are both triggered with the same payment for one cash account the creation of the automated floor pull liquidity transfer may fail. **3. Workaround:** No **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** see above **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	CLM	2025/06/14
PBI000000228291	PBI-228291[4CBC] Adaptions required in order to display the IDs correctly	Adaptions required in order to display the IDs correctly while also respecting performance requirements. Cash transfers can be identified by several IDs which are shown on a number of screens in the RTGS and CLM GUI respectively: - Instruction ID - End to End ID - UETR - Original UETR - Business Case ID - Clearing System Reference Please also refer to 'CLM/RTGS - Clarification Note on the display of IDs in cash transfers'	Medium	Other	2024/11/16
PBI000000228373	[T2 PROD][RTGS] Discrepancy between counter and cash transfers	When the user gets redirected from the RTGS GUI screen "List of Cash Transfer Order Totals by Status" to the "List of Cash Transfers" screen via context menu, the number of displayed cash transfer orders is inconsistent between the two screens. The redirection does not correctly distinguish between credit and debit cash transfer orders, which can be traced back to the implementation logic of the field "Credits / Debits" on the "Query Cash Transfers" screen. The problem is that the value in the field "Credits / Debits" is only considered when the field "Account Number" is filled simultaneously. In contrast, the screen "List of Cash Transfer Order Totals by Status" is designed independent of the selection/display of a single account, so that the redirection can currently not correctly distinguish between credit and debit cash transfer orders. Therefore, the logic of the field "Credits / Debits" has to be changed so that the screen äList of Cash Transfersö can show the cash transfer orders where (depending on the selection) either the credit or the debit account is in the data scope of the user, irrespective of the filling of the field öAccount Numberö. This change will achieve a consistent display between the two screens. This issue also affects the corresponding CLM GUI screens. The screen "List of Cash Transfer Order Totals by Status" will not be changed and shows the correct value (restricted to own CB community).	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16
PBI000000231939	Query/List Messages - wrong sort order in result list	□ **1. Business Scenario Description:** When specifying a sorting by output parameter 'Business Case ID' in ascending order on the CLM/RTGS GUI screen 'Query Messages', the result list on the following list screen is not sorted correctly. **2. Issue Description:** The sorting currently does not consider the complete numerical value of the field 'Business Case ID' and seems to consider only the first 3 digits of the business case ID. **3. Workaround:** No **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** The result set can not be correctly sorted by the output parameter 'Business Case ID'. **6. Required SW change:** Yes, adjust SQL-statement to enable correct sorting.	Medium	CLM	2025/06/14
PBI000000228554	[T2 IAC-B MT-V.5.1.6.8] CSLD RTGS,CLM U2A screens, Textarea fields "XML File" having incorrect background colour	In the CLM and RGTS GUI (Details of Message / Details of File screens), textarea-fields that show xml content are currently filled with a white background colour. This leads to the wrong impression that those fields can be edited. The fields should therefore be filled with grey background colour to indicate that no input is possible.	Medium	RTGS	2025/06/14
PBI000000228610	RTGS camt.006s not containing all transactions	In the delta camt.006 cash transfer query response some certain cash transfers are not included.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000228638	CRDM allows configuring SOs with AS procedure and cash account not compatible	- Description of the Business Scenario: Discrepancy between the LTO configurations with AS procedure D created in CRDM and the setup expected by T2 after data propagation. - Description of the Issue: The existing checks forseen by CRDM in the LTO creation with AS procedure D involve only the values of AS Procedure field and the accounts used in the same LTO. No check is performed on the table ASPR, containing the reference of which settlement procedure the ancillary system is using. On the contrary, on T2 side we have the following situation: depending on the settlement procedure the ancillary system is using, the liquidity needs to be provided on different accounts: - sub-account for the AS settlement procedure C (account owner = RTGS Account Holder); - AS technical account for AS settlement procedure D (account owner = ancillary system or its CB). So in case the SO LT is setup for AS settlement procedure D in can only be credited on a technical account dedicated for AS proc D. An introduction of a new business rule when creating LTO with AS settlement procedure D to align this configuration in CRDM is the adopted solution. - If a Workaround is available: No workaround - List of impacted TARGET Services: only T2 - Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service: It is an issue affecting the data propagation of LTO from CRDM to T2. - For CRDM PBIs only: If and what CRDM Object is impacted: n/a.	Medium	CRDM	2024/06/08
PBI000000228668	[T2][EAC][RTGS][A2A] Missing camt.025 as response to camt.007 increasing queue position	When a customer sends a camt.007 payment modification message for a payment which was created via GUI (U2A), the payment modification will be processed correctly but the expected outbound message (camt.025) will not be sent out to the customer.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000228683	[T2][RTGS][EAC][A2A] pacs.009 entred viy GUI rejected with E019 even if the cut off was not reached	The time formatter which extracts the time-only values in the GUI was defective. The entered values were not recalculated according to the timezone, leading to the described error message. This does only affect pacs fields which are defined as time without a date.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08

PBI000000228730	[T2][CRDM][PROD][U2A] The field annual threshold amount cannot be updated with an amount with two digits after the comma.	á - Description of the Business Scenario:á When creating an Invoice Configuration, among the selectable fields, there is the annual amount threshold. - Description of the Issue:á When inserting an Annual Amount Threshold with decimal values, in data change screen the comma is not viewed (e.g. 999,99 is displayed as 99999). CRDM Object: Invoice Configuration - data changes detail screen. - If a Workaround is available:á There is no workaround since this is a visualization issue only. The value with decimals is correctly saved, but it is wrongly displayed in data change screen only. - List of impacted TARGET Services:á T2. - Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service:á No impact and restrictions are foreseen. - For CRDM PBIs only: If and what CRDM Object is impacted:áFour-Eyes Managementá(Data Changesáfor theáAnnual Amount Threshold field of Invoice Configuration: the impacted field is only relevant for T2 service)	Medium	CRDM	2024/06/08
PBI000000228786	[T2][PROD][DWH][U2A] TRN01 search criteria not working	-Description of the Business Scenario Execution of TRN01 predefined report with 'Search by reference' -Description of the Issue The TRN01 report in DWH doesn't work when using UETR search criteria for a settled pacs.004. pacs.004 (payment cancellations) do not have a UETR of their own, instead the UETR of the original transaction is informed in the field UETR_ORIG. However, UETR_ORIG has not been filled before 2023-05-05 in UTEST. -If a Workaround is available No workaround available -List of impacted TARGET Services None -Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service n/a -Description of the required change If a UETR is not available in source transactional data, the UETR_ORIG is stored in internal transaction table instead. If neither UETR nor UETR_ORIG is available '-1' will be taken.	Medium	DWH	2024/06/08
PBI000000228793	[T2 IAC-B MT-V-5.1.5.1, GUI] RTGS, U2A - Upload A2A or File ends in Exception (TGPY700)	The upload of an A2A file or message could fail with a technical error message if the message refers to a BIC which of which multiple addressee BICs configured as the system will then have multiple associated accounts. A handling for this case was previously missing.	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16
PBI000000232030	[4CBC][MT-V.7.4.2.0, Minimum Reserve] CLM A2A - when end of minimum reserve period is a Friday, the running average calculated on next Monday is not correct	☐ **1. Business Scenario Description:** In case a minimum reserve period starts on a Saturday, the calculation for Saturday and Sunday is done with the EoD balance of Friday **2. Issue Description:** In case of starting the calculation on Saturday, already the EoD balance of Friday is taken into account **3. Workaround:** No **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Running average is wrongly calculated and therefore the interests are wrongly calculated **6. Required SW change:** Start the calculation with Saturday and not Friday	Medium	CLM	2025/06/14
PBI000000232056	query camt.005 is always rejected with E110 ("Search criteria not in data scope of business sender") even when search criteria is the amount	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Sending a camt.005 to CLM should give a result within the camt.006 **2. Issue Description:** Camt.005 is always rejected with E110 ("Search criteria not in data scope of business sender") **3. Workaround:** Use U2A **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** no **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000228847	Discrepancies in Cash Transfer Order Status in Task Queue after revocation - old status seems to be not correctly displayed	When revoking a cash transfer order, the current status is erroneously shown in field æOld ValueÆ. As the current status is correctly shown in the field æNew ValueÆ and the predecessor status is not stored in T2, the field æOld ValueÆ should be empty when revoking a cash transfer order.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000232158	when floor is triggered the credit account in internal camt.050 push LT CLM->RTGS is not correct (pull LT is ok)	**1. Business Scenario Description:** An floor pull LT was sent triggered by RTGS to pull liquidity from a linked CLM MCA: After the successful settlement in CLM an internal camt.050 is created and sent out to RTGS. **2. Issue Description:** During the outbound processing of the internal camt.050 incorrect parameters can be used in some cases, leading to the settlement in RTGS on the incorrect DCA (in case the configured End 2 End ID [CRDM field order reference] is not unique on the day and service in question). **3. Workaround:** No **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Yes: In the receiving service of the pull liquidity transfer the cash transfer may be settled on the incorrect credit cash account.á **6. Required SW change:** The selection of the duplicate input check liquidity transfer for outbound camt.050 liquidity transfers needs to be adjusted. The check for the uniqueness for the End2End ID has to be corrected in order to allow the floor push LT to work properly.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000228877	wrong separators accepted in U2A cash transfer query	At the U2A search criteria of the cashtransfer query screen wrong seperator were accepted. The result is an internal Alarm (DB2). The user will not get an correct answer.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000229013	[T2 EAC] [AS]	The context menu 'Display Cash Transfer' on the screen 'List of AS Batches' currently uses the 'AS Batch Message Reference' for the navigation to the screen 'List of Cash Transfers'. The same value for 'AS Batch Message Reference' might be used by different ancillary systems, so this navigaion criterion is not sufficient in order to exactly show the cash transfers of the relevant AS batch. To achieve uniqueness the Business Case ID has to be added as an additional navigation criterion. The implementation and the UHB have to be modified accordingly. In this context the description of the UHB regarding the context menus 'Details' and 'Display AS Batch Processing Log' will be corrected, as the current implementation (correctly) does not use the 'AS Batch Message Reference' for the navigation but an technical (internal) ID. This is an editorial issue.	Medium	RTGS	2025/06/14
PBI000000229031	[T2][TARGET][A2A][UTEST] duplicate of camt.004 for start of the OVNProcedure received	Currently the AS using AS procedure C are getting two camt.004 when event RESO is started. This happens because on EAC the currencies EUR as well as DKK are active and the flow "Execute and settle standing order liquidity transfers" is called for each currency. But the relevant currency is not considered when selecting the AS. Therefore everytime all AS are selected but not only for the relevant currency.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000229061	routing configurfation	Description of the Business Scenario: Routing New/Edit screen is used for the conditional routing setup of T2CRDM network Services and the selected Message Type is RTGS Directory update or CLM Repository update. Description of the Issue: when RTGS_DIR_UPDA or CLM_REPO_UPDA value is selected in æMessage TypeÆ field and the request is submitted, then the value is wrongly mapped in the database with RTGS_DIR or CLM_REPO value respectively. The result is that the conditional routing request is applied to the respective RTGS/CLM full report rather than the intended delta version If a Workaround is available: no workaround available List of impacted TARGET Services: only T2 is impacted Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service: T2 customers need to use the default routing functionality for the routing of the impacted delta reports. CRDM Object: Routing	Medium	CRDM	TBC

PBI000000229113	[INTEG] V6.2.0.0] GUI.UC.0980 - Modify AS transfer order - Context Menu Entry 'Increase/Decrease' is visible for PB-User	Currently the "Increase" and "Decrease" queue position context menu for AS Transfers is shown the payment bank users even though only the related CB can change the queue position. With this fix the context menus "Increase" and "Decrease" will be removed for PB users. The execution of this action is already correctly prevented in this case and the GUI will now be aligned with this behaviour.	Medium	RTGS	2025/06/14
PBI000000232067	[T2 IAC MT-V.MT-V.7.4.2.0 pacs.010] CLM: Queued payment by reservation not settled after coverage by LT; entries in tables 760c, 560c, 660c, 500 stay open, error in tgpy700.	**1. Business Scenario Description:** A queued payment should settle when the related cash account has sufficient liquidity. **2. Issue Description:** In case the cash account in question has a CBO reservation which exceeds the payment amount a technical issue occures and the processing stops. **3. Workaround:** Remove CBO reservation or revoke the queued payment and submit it again. **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Queued payments might get stuck as described above. **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000232120	[T2 CLM] E117 error after upload a file to CLM for MR authorization	**1. Business Scenario Description:** A open penalty can be authorized by sending a dedicated camt.998.AuthorizePenalty to CLM to either confirm or reject the penalty **2. Issue Description:** The camt.998 is always rejected with E117 **3. Workaround:** Authorize the penalty through GUI **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Penalty cannot be approved nor rejected **6. Required SW change:** Correct software so the camt.998.AuthorizePenalty is accepted by CLM Template Business oriented description 1. Business Scenario Description: 2. Issue Description: 3. Workaround: 4. TARGET Services concerned: 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: 6. Required SW change: **7. CRDM object: 8. DWH report:**	Medium	CLM	2025/06/14
PBI000000229194	[T2 INTEG MT-V.7.0.0.0] Query used amounts for direct debits - Duplicate Entry in List	The RTGS GUI screen "List of Direct Debits" may show entries for each DCA in the result list for certain Counterparties. Parties with only one DCA are therefore not affected. This implementation error needs to be corrected so that only one entry is shown per Counterparty BIC.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000229200	Logging GUI issue	Depending on the Section where a User is selected in ESMIG Portal, meta information is added to the request indicating if it was done via T2, T2S or TIPS. As the User itself is the only relevant information this change aims to remove the check on this meta information item, in order to allow access to CLM and RTGS for a correctly privileged system user even if this system user was selected from the T2S or TIPS section in ESMIG Portal to allow users with a system user which is configured to use all services to use all services at the same time independent of the login order.	Medium	Other	2024/06/08
PBI000000226886	PBI-226886 - EoD RTGS balances	The query was executed 3 times in this initial customer's test execution At the first time and third time the GUI showed the correct result. At the second time the customer queried the RTGS account from a CLM screen, when RTGS was already in 'close of service' and CLM was not yet in 'close of service'. During the 'close of service ' a technical reorganisation of data takes place, so that a GUI query during this phase should not be allowed by RTGS / CLM. A corresponding check in the software is already in place to disable CLM queries regarding CLM accounts and for RTGS queries regarding RTGS accounts. This check has to be added for CLM queries regarding RTGS accounts. The customer currently sees zero values when querying RTGS accounts from a CLM GUI when RTGS is already in 'close of service' but CLM is not yet in 'close of service'. Currently missing check for disabling GUI queries in this constellation.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000227233	PBI-227233 - Standing order reservation did not work.	Currently the CLM processing of these types of standing order liquidity transfer crediting the overnight deposit account excludes the use of the CBO reservation amount, while the CLM processing of manual/immediate intra-service LTscrediting the overnight deposit account includes the use of the CBO reservation amount. The CLM system behaviour for standing order LT execution crediting overnight deposit accounts will be aligned to the UDFS and include CBO reservation amounts.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000229630	[C2 DASH] LMTNETW RTGS OT 11000 AMBP1BRK 2023-08-14-04.25.41.631116CASHTRANSFER Error Number in Exception: 2322 -- Error Code (can be MQ Error / SQL Error etc.: -99999 - Error occured in procedure - Error detected, rethrowing - Root SQL exception -	Currently the camt.005 cash transfer delta query does not always work as expected and sometimes the delta query (not the INIT) will result in a technical error and a timeout for the customer.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000227605	PBI-227605 - Inconsistent minimum reserve values. Inconsistency between the minimum reserve values displayed in T2 CLM GUI and the values reported in the camt.004 message sent by CLM	On the first day of a MR period there is a inconsistent combination of values from the preceding MR period (e.g. adjustment balance) and the current MR period (e.g. MR requirement). On the GUI side the screen currently mixes the information of the past with information of the current period and has to be corrected. On all other days of the MR period all values consistently relate to the current MR period.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000229906	DWH ANS01 report	TBC	Medium	DWH	2024/06/08
PBI000000229932	FRCB reporting PBI000000226575 is partially successful. Unsuccessful for PAR02 from which the participant ôMSLNGB2XPARö is still missing.	- Description of the Business Scenario: Usage of PAR02 - Description of the Issue: PAR02 is not showing deleted parties - If a Workaround is available: None - List of impacted TARGET Services: T2-DWH - Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service: The report uses a view that was not adjusted during a previous change. This will now be adjusted. - Description of the required change:Adjustment of a DWH View - For DWH PBIs only: If and what DWH report is impacted: PAR02	Medium	DWH	2024/06/08
PBI000000229938	PTCB participants complains about ReturnLimit (camt.010) without tag MsgDefIdr	When sending a limit query (camt.009) the answer provided by RTGS currently sometimes does not include the mandatory MsgDefIdr tag while all other information are included as expected.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08

PBI000000229956	[T2] [UTEST] [RTGS] [A2A] Possible failed retest of PBI000000229842	In case of disagreement after blocking of earmarked cash transfers for procedure A/B the external batch status is incorrect: 'ACSC' instead of 'RJDA'. The Cash Transfers however get rejected correctly, only the external batch status is incorrect. As possible workaround in the rare scenarios where a disagreement after blocking should be done it's possible to simply not disagree the earmarked cash transfers: the rejection cut-off process would than reject it with the end of day processing and the batch status would be correct. The other possible workaround would be to manual inform the impacted customer in these rare scenarios whenever a disagreement is done	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16
PBI000000229983	STF01 Set-up capital is never communicated in the table	- Description of the Business Scenario Usage of the predefined report STF01 - Description of the Issue Set-up of an Overnight Deposit is not communicated by STF01 if executed by a third level participant user, or in case a central bank user specificly selects the BIC of a participant. - If a Workaround is available No workaround available - List of impacted TARGET Services T2 DWH. No other service impacted - Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service There is a deviation from the specification as the capital setup for overnight deposit is not filled for some specific scenarios. - Description of the required change The relevant Overnight Deposit Account, on which the setup is calculated, is not owned by the party selected in the prompt but of the central bank instead. The software needs to be amended to check for the Overnight Deposit Accounts the linked account, which is a MCA belonging to the selected party. - If and what DWH report is impacted STF01	Medium	DWH	2024/11/16
PBI000000227753	Business transaction 9071346 did not close	CLM created an inter-service ceiling LT and an internal camt.050 was sent to RTGS. RTGS processsed it and sent an internal receipt to CLM. Because of a bug, the processing at CLM stopped (no incoming message found - because the LT was system-generated), and the business transaction stayed open and an internal alert was created.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000230179	Camt.003 query abend with technical error	When a customer queries his minimum reserve requirements (camt.003 fullfillment/requirement query) on the same day that he gets obliged for minimum reserve the query will abend with a technical error. This happens because the mandatory accumulated end of day minimum reserve balance from the previous business day does not exist. Consequently the customer does not get any response in this rare case.	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16
PBI000000230183	Technical error instead of rejection of camt.011 message	In cases a customer sends a modify bilateral limit (camt.011) message to create a non-existing limit between two cash accounts which did not have any existing standing orders or bookings between these two cash accounts during the day, the inbound processing flow abends with a technical error instead of correctly rejection the inbound message with the error code E078. While it is correct that the task is not executed, the customer does not get the required negative response message (camt.025) due to this bug.	Medium	RTGS	2025/06/14
PBI000000230216	[C2 DASH] LMTNETW RTGS OT 12173 WMBP1BRK 2023-11-13-10.01.22.552912CAMT025_INTERNAL 12173 - TIVOLI error raised: 12173 - Negative internal receipt (camt.025) from CLM received with status code E015 and error description Duplicate message payload:	In cases where a floor or ceiling LT is triggered for one cash account with the exact same settlement amount intraday the second LT will be rejected as duplicate.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000230351	AS not being able to revoke file	The AS tried to revoke an AS batch via GUI but currently the AS is not able to do so because erroneously A102 failed although the AS is not blocked. This is a system bug which needs to be fixed. As a workaround the CB of the AS can act on behalf and revoke the AS batch.	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16
PBI000000230611	[T2][DWH][ANS01] ANS01 report does not count AS transfers correctly	1. Business Scenario Description: Usage of Report ANS01 2. Issue Description: ANS01 report does not count AS transfers correctly 3. No Workaround available in DWH 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2-DWH 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Due to a failure in the ETL process a miscount results for ANS01. The report underestimate the count of AS transfers. 6. Required SW change: Adjustment of the ETL process to take all transactions into account 7. DWH report: ANS01	Medium	DWH	2024/06/08
PBI000000230618	Missing AS file after manual upload A2A	1. Business Scenario Description: The participant uploaded a a valid pain.998 which was successful but during the inbound processing the processing failed due to an unknown message type. 2. Issue Description: This is an implementation bug. Missing whitespaces causing the XML parser to reassemble the message abnormally. 3. Workaround: NO 4. TARGET Services concerned: RTGS 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: This is an implementation bug. Missing whitespaces causing the XML parser to reassemble the message abnormally. 6. Required SW change: YES	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16
PBI000000230759	Standing Order not executed (EBATFRPPEB1)	1. Business Scenario Description: The customer has entered a SO in CRDM that was not successfully taken over by CLM/RTGS 2. Issue Description: The SO is provided by CRDM to CLM/RTGS but deleted in CLM/RTGS due to a specific data constellation. RDE322 should have reported this data constellation to the customer but did not. The logic for taking over data from CRDM is less restrictive than the validation. 3. Workaround: No 4. TARGET Services concerned: CLM/RTGS 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: If a SO is setup with an AS as a credit accout and a given event code the SO is deleted and not taken over by CLM/RTGS and therefore not executed. 6. Required SW change: RDE322 and the logic for taking over data from CRDM should be aligned so the data takeover has the same restriction than RDE322	Medium	Other	2024/11/16
PBI000000227969	PBI-227969 - CLM-Screen "Query Events" contains less events than mentioned in BDM and UHB	The Restriction to display Internal Events only to OT was not working correctly for the Download. This is why more events where contained in the downloaded file. The Event Type Internal or Externl was fixed with PBI000000227427.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000230844	Date error for New Financial Institution Credit Transfer	1. Business Scenario Description: Occurs On T2 U2A Screens "Customer Credit Transfer", "Financial Institution Credit Transfer" and Payment Return 2. Issue Description: Date Entries in the field "Interbank Settlement Date" while using a computer set to a Timezone GMT-01:00 to GMT-12:00 will lead to the actual date being erroneously recognised as between 12:00 and 23:00 on the day before. This will lead to Inputs for Mondays being rejected while inputs for Saturdays are accepted. This Fix will adjust the validation to always check the CET/CEST formatted date. 3. Workaround: No other similar functionality is available in T2 U2A. 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 (CLM+RTGS) 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Entering a Payment via U2A is not possible for Mondays as long as the Computer is set to one of the affected Timezones 6. Required SW change: The Input date has to be correctly transformed into UTC to allow date Validations to work correctly	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08

PBI000000230942	[T2][PROD] FULL RTGS Directory received yesterday has errors.	1. Business Scenario Description: RTGS Directory is based on Authorised Account User and Cash Account configuration. To exclude a BIC from the directory, it should be referenceed in an AAU linked to a non published cash account or in an Exclusion participation type AAU, also within a wild card pattern. A BIC should be included only once within the same validity period in order to uniquely identify the correct addressing. 2. Issue Description: A BIC has been defined as Direct AAU on a non published account. The same BIC is included in a wild card pattern for a multi addressee AAU created on a published account. Expected behaviour should be that the BIC should not be part of the RTGS Directory. Currently the software wrongly includes the BIC when evaluating the wild card pattern instead of skipping it. The result is that a wrong record for the BIC is produced in the directory, causing as a side effect a double entry for the BIC with overlapping period. The fix aims at correcting the wild card pattern evaluation routine so that the processing is in line with the specs. 3. Workaround: In such scenario, if an additional AAU is created for the BIC on the published account with participation type set to "Exclusion", the record would not be included in the directory as expected. 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: RTGS directory is used only in the context of RTGS and distributed to the RTGS participants, there is no impact on other services. 6. Required SW change: Yes. The wild card evaluation routine for the RTGS Directory extraction component should be corrected 7. CRDM object: RTGS Directory 8. DWH report: N/A	Medium	CRDM	2024/11/16
PBI000000230961	[T2 IAC-B MT-V.7.0.12.0, CR87] RTGS & CLM: Pacs messages throw error in TGPY700.	1. Description of the Business Scenario: Business day is not correctly loaded for closed currencies and therefore inbound messages are not correctly processed. 2.Description of the Issue:In case of CCY closing, not all revelant business days are requested from BDM, hence not all events for closed currencies are loaded from BDM. 3. Workaround: yes, insert events manually 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: A2A messages for closed currency are not processed, Statement regarding the likelihood of the occurrence: only in case of currency specific closing 6. Required SW change: All relevant business days need to be requested from BDM. In addition, the requested business day needs to be logged in order to map the response to the correct request Applicable to user testing: yes/noño	High	Other	2024/06/08
PBI000000231015	payment not settled with automated LT	1. Business Scenario Description: In AS procedure B two 'high' priority payments were queued at the same time. The cash account (RTGS DCA) had no liquidity but a rule based liquidity transfer configured for high queued payments (linked MCA). Consequently as both of the payments were queued within a few ms the first payment created a LRQP camt.050 pull to CLM. While the rule based pull LT for the first payment succeeded the pull LT for the second queued payment failed. 2. Issue Description: Due to an implementation error the amount of the second pull LT is incorrectly set to NULL. 3. Workaround: not available 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 RTGS high priority queued payments 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: If two 'high' priority payments are queued at the same time (within few ms) and the RTGS DCA is lacking the necessary liquidity, the automated LT to provide the liquidity for the second 'high' priority payment fails. 6. Required SW change: Correct the implementation bug to correctly set the amount in the second automated LT according to the liquidity need	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000231026	[T2 IAC-B MT-V.7.0.12.0, CR87] RTGS: Standing Orders for Reservations (in RSOD) throw error in TGPY700.	1. Description of the Business Scenario: Standing orders for reservations are not fully executed with start of day in RTGS. 2.Issue Description: Due to a timing issue the process "Execute modify current reservation RTGS" may find some standing orders for reservations in wrong status. 3. Workaround: no 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Standing orders for reservations are not fully executed 6. Description of the required change: When processing SO for reservations the prcosess needs to ensure that it is the only process working on the data of the related cash account and other process will need to wait for it to finish Applicable to user testing: no	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000231058	[T2 RTGS EAC] - Validation Rule VR01260 not triggered for camt.005	1. Business Scenario Description: Sending camt.005 with elements a combination of the elements 'Account Owner', 'Account Identification', 'Account Servicer' gives an result instead of the expected Error code E111. These elements should be mutually exclusive. 2. Issue Description: Software issue as the defined validation rule does not work as intended. 3. Workaround: Do not combine those elesemnts 4. TARGET Services concerned: RTGS 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Non 6. Required SW change: Yes.	Medium	RTGS	2025/06/14
PBI000000228210	PBI-228210 - Issue with CLM camt.006 responses.	Currently customer's get some transactions reported twice (i.e. the debit and the credit cash account perspective of a cash transfer) in a camt.006 cash transfer query response, even when a cash account identification was given within the cash account query, explicitly only querying the perspective of the referenced cash account. The issue is currently only reported for overnight deposit cash transfers and might only occure for those when the delta functionallity of the cash transfer query is used.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000228236	PBI-228236 - GUI - list of account postings for co-managed accounts not visible	A co-manager is currently not able to see the account postings of co-managed accounts. The screen 'List of account postings' shows the note 'No entries found' when the co-manager enters or selects a co-managed account. This has to be changed in order to show the account postings of the co-managed account.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000230919	GUI.UC.1090 Query Files (RTGS) - problem with "Entry Timstamp To"	☐ 1. Business Scenario Description: When querying files on the RTGS/CLM GUI screen "Query Files", the entry timestamps may be pre-filled inconsistently. 2. Issue Description: The defect described in this ticket refers to the "Entry Timestamp From" Field in RTGS GUI. This field uses the current date and time when opening the screen as default. To avoid this fields interaction with the Breadcrumb functionality this default is only applied if the field is empty. Unfortunately when the screen was already open during a session the screen this does interfere with this default as the time is not updated as expected but instead the time of the initial opening of the screen is restored. 3. Workaround: The timestamp to be queried can be entered manually. 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Functionality may be restricted when the screen is called multiple times during the same session. 6. Required SW change: The fix for this will change the behaviour to update the time when revisiting the screen.	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16

PBI000000230921	CLM/RTGS GUI TEST CLM/RTGS screen 'List of Events' (RTGS) - not specified sensitive buttons at the end of each row	☐ 1. Business Scenario Description: ⬜When opening the CLM/RTGS GUI screen 'List of Events', a context menu button is also shown for events which do not have a context menu entry available. 2. Issue Description: ⬜Currently the GUI shows context menu buttons at the end of each row for some tables, which do not have a context menu. This is due to a faulty check in the implementation. 3. Workaround: ⬜The list entries can be clicked on manually in order to see if a context menu entry is available. 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: ⬜The user-experience may be impacted negatively when clicking on a button which has no underlying functionality. 6. Required SW change: ⬜This Ticket aims at removing this visible inconsistence to ensure clean handling internally and also reduce the risk of confusion on the users side.	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16
PBI000000230963	[T2 IAC-B MT-V.MT-4.5.36.0 NFT] A2A CLM&RTGS camt.005 not enough parallism	Under analysis	Medium	OTHER	2024/06/08
PBI000000231209	Routing not correctly selected when PTA of a party is retrieved	1. Business Scenario Description: When CLM/RTGS creates outbound message to be sent to customers routing/PTA data is used for correctly addressing participants 2. Issue Description: Based on the data constellation present (received from CRDM and processed in CLM), CLM may select a wrong routing/PTA which could lead to a outbound message not sent out correctly. This case can happen, but it has not occured yet. 3. Workaround: not available 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Sometimes wrong routing of outbound messages (depends on static data provided from CRDM) 6. Required SW change: Correct the routing selection in order to consider the link to the Party in PTA/routing	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000228387	[T2 SDD-PBR-099] [4CBC][T2 IAC MT-6.0.0.0] GUI: Query Overnight Deposit Accounts in Dashboard Query Screen for Ancillary Systems	Overnight deposit accounts are not selectable for parties with party typeAncillary Systems in the 'Dashboard Liquidity Overview Query Screens while this is allowed according to UDFS (chapter 3.1.3).	Medium	CLM	2024/11/16
PBI000000231266	[T2] [DWH] [EAC] Linked to PBI000000229702	1. Business Scenario Description: Usage of PAR02 2. Issue Description: Report differs from RTGS Directory 3. Workaround: None 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2-DWH 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Due to an ETL error, a party is incorrectly stored as Published in the database, although it is Unpublished. According to the database, it is erroneously displayed in the report. 6. Required SW change: Adaptation of the ETL process to transfer the correct value from CRDM (unpublished parties are no longer displayed) 7. DWH report: PAR02	Medium	DWH	2024/06/08
PBI000000231269	[T2 EAC] No answer received for camt.021	1. Business Scenario Description: After sending a camt.021 a corresponding camt.004 is expected for the sending party 2. Issue Description: After starting a maintenance window on mondays no camt.004 corresponding to the incoming camt.021 is sent with the Start of RTGS RTS II 3. Workaround: No known workaround 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 RTGS 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: No outbound message is created, the customer will not be informed about balances of the referenced sub accounts of the AS 6. Required SW change: Correct the flow to send the corresponding Outbound messages	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000231274	[UTEST][DWH][T2] Linked to PBI000000228786, UETR does not appear in TRN01.	1. Business Scenario Description: Usage of TRN01 2. Issue Description: TRN01 does not work when filtering with the "UETR" of a pacs.004 transaction. 3. Workaround: Search transaction via other references or by business characteristics. 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2-DWH 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Transaction cannot be found, when searching with the <OrgnlUETR> part of a pacs.004 message 6. Required SW change: Correction of the load job for the transaction table in DWH to add a special handling in case of retrieving the UETR reference of a pacs.004 transaction. A corrective load of the transaction table is necessary after deployment. 7. DWH report: TRN01	Medium	DWH	2024/06/08
PBI000000228812	Camt.054 received with amount 0.00	The current design of the automated liquidity transfer processing between CLM and RTGS can result in a very high number of zero-amount automated liquidity transfers settled in RTGS and CLM which will all create camt.054 notifications if configured. This scenario can occure when several CBOs are queued within a few seconds on an MCA with insufficient liquidity and automated LT configured with a linked RTGS account.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000231306	[T2 CR-0097]- AS leader Party and its CB cannot see all the account BIC of the SBAG components	- Description of the Business Scenario; SBAG leader party (or user of the corresponding CB) search details of the SBAG - Description of the Issue; When searching details of the SBAG, the SBAG leader party can see only the account BIC of the accounts in its datascope. The same behaviour applies to the users of the corresponding CB (only account BIC of accounts in its datascope are visible) - If a Workaround is available; No known workaround available - List of impacted TARGET Services; T2 - Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service; SBAG leader party (and corresponding CB) cannot see all the account BIC of the SBAG components - Description of the required change; It is requested to fix in accordance to CSLD-0097 - For CRDM PBIs only: If and what CRDM Object is impacted; Settlement Bank Account Group - For DWH PBIs only: If and what DWH report is impacted; n/a	Medium	CRDM	2024/06/08

PBI000000231338	Linked to PBI000000229983. STF01 Set-up capital is never communicated in the table	1. Description of the Business Scenario Usage of the predefined report STF01 2. Description of the Issue Set-up of an Overnight Deposit is not communicated by STF01 if executed by a third level participant user, or in case a central bank user specificly selects the BIC of a participant. 3. If a Workaround is available No workaround available 4. List of impacted TARGET Services T2 DWH. No other service impacted 5. Description of the impact and restrictions on the impacted TARGET Service There is a deviation from the specification as the capital setup for overnight deposit is not filled for some specific scenarios. 6. Description of the required change The relevant Overnight Deposit Account, on which the setup is calculated, is not owned by the party selected in the prompt but of the central bank instead. The software needs to be amended to check for the Overnight Deposit Accounts the linked account, which is a MCA belonging to the selected party. 7. If and what DWH report is impacted STF01	Medium	DWH	2024/11/16
PBI000000231372	Duplication errors with report STA01 for BIC NABAATWWGRA	1. Business Scenario Description: Usage of STA01 2. Issue Description: Duplication errors with report STA01, if an account is reopened under the same designation 3. Workaround: None 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2-DWH 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Report STA01 shows duplicates, although the data in the underlying table is correct. This only occurs when an account is reo-pened. | Layout Issue 6. Required SW change: Adjustment of the Report in Cognos to use only the current/valid entry 7. n/a 8. DWH report: STA01	Medium	DWH	2024/11/16
PBI000000231390	T2 CRDM Revision screen U2A an overlap in the screen	1. Business Scenario Description: A CRDM U2A user attempts to view the audit trail details screen of a cash account object. 2. Issue Description: This issue pertains to visualization; within the audit trail minor entity of the Revisions Details screen for a cash account object, the cash account number overlaps with the "Approval Type" field, making it difficult for users to read. 3. Workaround: Users can read the cash account number from the revisions list screen. 4. TARGET Services Concerned: T2, T2S, TIPS, ECMS 5. Effects and Restrictions on Concerned TARGET Service: This issue affects a common screen used by users across all services. 6. Required SW Change: Enhance the screen visualization to prevent the overlap of information. 7. CRDM Object: Cash Account 8. DWH Report: N/A	Low	CRDM	2024/11/16
PBI000000231648	Standing orders for Bilateral Limit not executed	1. Business Scenario Description: Bilateral limits are taken over from CRDM data. In case there is no value, a default value is taken. This default value is supposed to be provided by CRDM also 2. Issue Description: As the default value for bilateral limit is not provided by CRDM, T2 is supposed to use the default value, but the default value is not correctly evaluated and therefore bilateral limits are not successfully taken over 3. Workaround: No 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Bilateral limits are not taken over 6. Required SW change: The evaluation of the default value needs to be adjusted.	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000231236	BE: Error E042 raised unexpectedly instead of E100 during settlement attempt of MLRR cash transfer	**1. Business Scenario Description:** A cash transfer order with Business Case Code 'MLRR' fails settlement with error code 'E042' (Insufficient liquidity) although there is enough liquidity on the debit account. **2. Issue Description:** Settlement should have failed with the error code 'E100' instead of the error code 'E042' since there were higher ranking queued debit cash transfer orders. Therefore, an incorrect error code was raised. **3. Workaround:** No **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** The reason for the failed settlement is not clearly recognizable. **6. Required SW change:** Fix implementation so that it adds the correct error code to the cash transfer order.	Medium	Other	2024/11/16
PBI000000231551	During A2A tests related to CR T2-97 on retrieving the Settlement Bank Account Group (SBAG) of the Leader Party, we receive the following error: "No data found or no data found within the user's allowed data scope"	**1. Description** of the Business Scenario: When creating or updating a Settlement Bank Account Group (SBAG) for T2 in CRDM via U2A, during the operation of including a new Cash Account in the Accounts section, the cash Account Number is stored with additional empty trailing whitespaces causing an error in generating the acmt.026 response to an acmt.025 request on A2A side. 2. Description of the Issue: An A2A XML response (acmt.026) is not returned to an acmt.025 request when there is at least one Cash Account Number added in a SBAG via GUI. **3. Workaround:** No workaround available. **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** it is relevant for Settlement Bank Account Group create/update requests according to the described scenario. **6. Required SW change:** A fix is required to prevent trailing spaces on the Cash Account Number field when a new Account is added to the list of a SBAG. 7. CRDM Object: Settlement Bank Account Group 8. n/a	Medium	CRDM	2024/06/08
PBI000000228846	[T2 IAC-B MT-V.6.0.2.0] CLM, U2A screen 'List of Available Liquidity by Party' - inconsistent display of error icon in case of erroneous response from T2S	When receiving erroneous responses from other services (T2S/TIPS), an error icon is shown in the CLM GUI. This error icon is supposed to mark the affected fields if they cannot be retrieved or miss required balances to be calculated. This marking is however not working consistently between all fields so that an incorrect value of 0.00 may be displayed in case of an erroneous response from other services.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08

ID	Title	Description	Priority	Service	Date
PBI000000231666	Issue with camt.018 Calendar Query for DKK Closing Days in BDM See notes and attachments	**1. Business Scenario Description:** A BDM duly authorised A2A user sends a camt.018 to BDM in order to retrieve information about the closing days for a specific currency. **2. Issue Description:** Due to a software bug related to a store procedure not returning the proper closing days for a specific currency (e.g., DKK), the response of the calendar query via A2A (camt.018/19 messages) does not report correctly the closing days in message content. **3. Workaround:** the calendar query can be performed via U2A to obtain the correct result. **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2, T2S. **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** the calendar query (camt.018) can be used by both T2 and T2S users. **6. Required SW change:** fix the store procedure to return for A2A the proper closing days for each currency. **7. CRDM object:** N/A **8. DWH report:** N/A	Medium	BDM	2024/06/08
PBI000000228864	camt.050 from CLM to RTGS without amount	The whole processing started with an inter-service standing order LT from CLM to T2S. T2S sent a negative camt.025 with an error code as response to . Due to the negative processing a reversal of the cash transfer related to the standing order LT was done. After the suc-cessful settlement of the reversal, an automated LT was triggered but without any amount and sent out to RTGS. In RTGS the received automated LT could not be processed due to the miss-ing amount. Therefore it was not possible to pull liquidity from RTGS to CLM.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000231762	[BILL-U2A] [BILL] Exporting or printing files from of the Invoice Data, Invoice Data Details and Itemised Invoice Data Details screens, the currency is not available	**1. Business Scenario Description:** While working with the Billing GUI, users will find that some buttons and icons appear regularly. In particular Basic icons, such as Export and Print icon, which users can find on every screen. The Export icon exports the data of the executed query from the current screen into a csv-file. If the user applies filters in the columns of the returned list, these filters are taken into account by the export functionality. As well, the Print icon prints the data of the current screen. **2. Issue Description:** Exporting excel file or Printing pdf files of the screens (i)Invoice Data, (ii) Invoice Data Details and (ii) Itemised Invoice Data Details, Prices and Amounts are correclty displayed but the currency is not present **3. Workaround:** No workaround **4. TARGET Services concerned:** All Target services are impacted **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** When the user exports or prints from the (i)Invoice Data, (ii) Invoice Data Details and (ii) Itemised Invoice Data Details screens, has not the information about which currency the amounts is calculated. **6. Required SW change:** A bug fix in order to make the currency available in excel and pdf files of the (i)Invoice Data, (ii) Invoice Data Details and (ii) Itemised Invoice Data Details screens **7. CRDM object:** n/a **8. DWH report:** n/a	Medium	BILL	2024/11/16
PBI000000229120	[4CBC][MT V.6.0.5.0] [Shared Component][Table] Set a specific focus/Highlight visualization to have a well visilbe selection across different browsers.	Align the highlight effects and focusable state on the table module for mouse and keyboard usage between different browsers.á The keyboard navigation inside the table is using the default browser effects. The focus styling should be customized to have better visibility.	Medium	CLM	2025/06/14
PBI000000231777	The NRO signature cannot be stored due to an implementation bug which results in a function needed before the storage of the NRO signature not being executed.	**1. Business Scenario Description:** The error 'Operation Failed 400: The server cannot process the request. Please check your entries and try again.'occurs when the GUI-user enters a payment return. **2. Issue Description:** The NRO signature cannot be stored due to an implementation bug which results in a function needed before the storage of the NRO signature not being executed. **3. Workaround:** not available **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Entering a payment return via T2 GUI does not work. **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000229187	[T2 INTEG MT-V.7.0.0.0] List of Broadcasts (RTGS/CLM) - column "Subject" - deviation between mapping spec and implementation	The text shown in the field 'Subject' on the screen 'List of Broadcasts' is for some broadcasts incorrect regarding the spelling. This has to be corrected. Note: the business content of the field is correct, only the spelling is wrong.	Medium	CLM	2025/06/14
PBI000000231817	[SDD-CN-126] Blockage of guarantee account	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Guarantee funds account was blocked and the use of the guarantee funds mechanism should not be executed due to the blocked account. **2. Issue Description:** The AS submitted the use of the guarantee funds account and the settlement took place because the current process does not foresee any blocking check. **3. Workaround:** n/a **4. TARGET Services concerned:** RTGS **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Very rare business case. It affects only the dedicated account blocking of the guarantee funds account. Party blocking works as expected. **6. Required SW change:** A SDD-CN is required to consider the check of a blocked guarantee funds account on account level after the use of the guarantee funds mechanism has been submitted.	Medium	RTGS	TBC

PBI000000231820	this has been opened out of the JUN.2024 CR 0097: Query SBAG Account BICs via messages	**1. Business Scenario Description:** A duly A2A authorized user sends to CRDM a message with a wrong header, declaring another type of message in the "MessageDefinitionIdentifier" tag (e.g., <MsgDefIdr>acmt.015.001.02</MsgDefIdr> wrt the one reported in the body (e.g., the body of acmt.025). The message declared in the header (i.e., the value of the tag "MessageDefinitionIdentifier") is a maintenance instruction request (e.g., AccountExcludedMandateMaintenanceRequest) and is received on the Real Time channel. **2. Issue Description:** Due to a wrong creation of the message response to the A2A request by CRDM component, the outbound message is discarded by ESMIG and therefore not re-ceived by the customer. **3. Workaround:** Set up the header with the correct "MessageDefinitionIdentifier" identifier to the A2A messages sent to CRDM. **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2, T2S, TIPS, ECMS **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** The maintenance instruction re-quests are used by the A2A users of all services. **6. Required SW change:** CRDM A2A module shall be fixed to create the correct outbound message response to ESMIG also in the case the header of the message request is wrong. **7. CRDM object:** - **8. DWH report:** -	Medium	CRDM	2024/11/16
PBI000000229228	Difference between data from camt.054 and data from camt.006 (there are missing fields).	In the camt.006 query response by CLM the field End-to-End Id is missing when reported CLM cash transfer relates to a balance transmitted by ECONS II. This is not in line with the description in SDD CN 100.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000231838	[4CBC][MT-V.7.4.0.0 pain.998] RTGS: Proc.A and Proc.B: Accounts for first agent and final agent are mixed up for the first sequences	**1. Business Scenario Description:** In case of AS processing, when the XML contains the fileds FirstAgentAccountIdentifier' and 'FinalAgentAccountIdentifier' the two fields 'Account Identifier of the First Agent' and 'Account Identifier of the FInal Agent' should internaly only be filled in case of settlement procedure 'C'.á **2. Issue Description:** It was also used in the other AS procedure. Additionally the account numbers internaly were mixed up. As a result the cash transfers were not settled until end of day and were rejected by the cut-off processing. **3. Workaround:** non **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** no **6. Required SW change:** Yes	High	RTGS	2024/11/16
PBI000000231850	GUI.UC.0900 - Display queued AS transfer orders by batch (RTGS) - TypeError appears after selecting Settlement Procedure	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Query queued AS Transfer Orders by Batch, selecting Settlment Procedure should work **2. Issue Description:** Eror Type Error YE.push is not a function occurs. **3. Workaround:** N/A **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** do not select settlement Procedure at this screen. **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000231860	Query/List/Details of Task Queue - Old value is not displayed for task type 'New Reservation Amount'	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Viewing the "New Reservation Amount" Type Task in T2 U2A Screen "List of Task Queue" / "Details of Task Queue" **2. Issue Description:** When Viewing the the "New Reservation Amount" Type Task in T2 U2A Screen "List of Task Queue" / "Details of Task Queue" the "Old Amount" is not correctly displayed. **3. Workaround:** No **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** The old value of a Modified Reservation is not Displayed to the User **6. Required SW change:** The Old value needs to be stored when creating the Task	Medium	RTGS	2025/06/14
PBI000000231891	Linked to PBI000000228714. At U2A Screen of T2 CLM&RTGS list of files, the field EnNtry Timestamp from is prefilled with UTC Day and time (e.g. 23:00) instead of the current day 00:00 o┤ clock	**1. Business Scenario Description:** At U2A Screen of T2 CLM&RTGS list of files the field EnNtry Timestamp from should be prefilled with current day 00:00 o┤ clock **2. Issue Description:** The field is prefilled with UTC Day and time (e.g. 23:00) **3. Workaround:** Change field manually **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** no **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	Other	2024/06/08
PBI000000231893	Linked to PBI000000228877. If the field value date FROM and TO is not filled with the correct format, an error should be displayed and the submit button should not be available.	**1. Business Scenario Description:** At U2A Screen of T2 RTGS "list of cash transfers" the field value date from and TO should be filled in the correct format from the user, if not an error should be displayed and the submit button should not be available. **2. Issue Description:** When using the wrong format error is displayed in the screen, but the submit button can be pressed and a result (propably false) is displayed on the screen. The button should not be available. **3. Workaround:** Change field manually **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** no **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000229496	Automated liquidity transfer	The implementation for CLM Execute modify current reservation flow needs to be corrected. Several errors occured while processing a seizure of funds reservation: A modify seizure of funds reservation task (type 1001) was created at '2023-09-28T12:29:29.022' (ID_TASK: 514077) with the amount '85.65'. The cash account in question had no liquidity, thus the task was set to pending (correctly) and an automated LT should have been created. Indeed an automated LT was created, but it had the wrong amount: '0' instead of '85.65' (1) The issue seems to be that the incorrect 'Outbound processing Information' was selected (2) Additionally, the incorrect Business Transaction was used (the Business Transaction of the camt.004 Floor Notification and not the business transaction of the task) (3) Also the camt.004 Floor Notification which was created was not expected in this case	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08

PBI000000229691	[PROD] Intraday credit use maxima exceeds credit line amount maxima	The settlement timestamps are set in a way in CLM that in very rare cases the asynchron settlement of cash transfers can lead to a situation where a credit cash transfer which provides the necessary liquidity on a cash account to settle a debit cash transfer has indeed a settlement timestamp a few milli seconds after the settlement of the debit cash transfer. In these rare cases it appears that the cash account in question had a negative liquidity for a few milli seconds.	Medium	CLM	2024/11/16
PBI000000230133	E018 received even if BDM cutoff was not reached yet.	Currently liquidity transfers to overnight deposit accounts are validated against the correct business phase using the credit account types before checking whether the account exists in T2. When a wrong account number is entered or the account does not exist, the task of the liquidity transfer fails already in the first step as there is no account type available. As a result the user gets the error code E018 which is wrong from a functional perspective as the task failed due to a non-existing account. The account validation will be brought to an earlier stage in the processing that the customer gets the error code U021 or U027 instead of E018.	Medium	CLM	2024/11/16
PBI000000232018	The mulilateral limit modification does not work as expected. A mulilateral limit modification which decreases the limit is expected to be set to pending when the referenced cash account has insufficient liquidity. It will be retriggered when the cash account gets new liquidity. In case the task will still be pending due to still insufficient liquidity after the second execution attempt the task might get stuck due to this issue.	**1. Business Scenario Description:** A mulilateral limit modification which decreases the limit will be set to pending when the referenced cash account has insufficient liquidity. It will be retriggered when the cash account gets new liquidity. In case the task will still be pending due to still insufficient liquidity after the second execution attempt the task might get stuck due to this issue. **2. Issue Description:** The execution of a such a task will fail due to a data inconsistency and the task might not be executed anymore afterwards. **3. Workaround:** Sending a new limit modification will solve the issue. **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** no **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	RTGS	2025/06/14
PBI000000230854	camt.004 message without closing balance and account informations	BOD: VR01460 shoud work as expected for camt.003 MRFQ query: E130 has to be raised when a co-managee's minimum reserve requirements are queried by it's co-manager when the conditions in the validation rules are not fulfilled. This is actual not the case.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000232032	In the processing of inter-service Liquidity transfer between two services which are not CLM (i.e. RTGS/T2S/TIPS), CLM will check whether liquidity transfers are still accepted for the target settlement service for the current business day. CLM current performs this check without considering the currency.	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Inter-service Liquidity transfer between two services which are not CLM (i.e. RTGS/T2S/TIPS) are routed via CLM. CLM will check whether liquidity transfers are still accepted for the target settlement service for the current business day. **2. Issue Description:** Currently this check is done without considering the currency. As soon as one currency reaches a phase where inter-service liquidity transfers (LTs) are not accepted anymore CLM will reject such LTs for all other currencies as well. **3. Workaround:** No **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** no **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	RTGS	2024/06/08
PBI000000232035	updating a user makes it impossible for this user to connect to BILL until the next data propagation	1.Business Scenario: A duly authorized CRDM U2A user modifies the "System User Reference" field of a User falling under data scope. The modified user is employed by a party to access the BILLING module via U2A. 2. Issue Description: Due to a software bug, the user with a modified "System User Reference" cannot access the BILLING module via U2A until the next data propagation event. 3. Workaround: no workaround available. 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2, T2S, TIPS 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: the impact of this PBI affects the users of all services which cannot access the BILL GUI until the next data propagation (i.e., the very next business day) 6. Required software change: fix the software bug in order not to block the access to the BILLING module (U2A) for the period between the modification of the "System User Reference" and the next data propagation. 7. CRDM object: User 8. DWH Report: N/A	Medium	CRDM	2024/06/08
PBI000000231059	Validation Rule VR01420 not triggered for camt.005	1. Business Scenario Description: In case a camt.005 is sent with an 'Account Identification' referrencing a non-existing cash account (i.e. incorrect cash account identification is provided) the error E112 is expected but not raised (instead E118 is returned). 2. Issue Description: Software issue of VR01420. 3. Workaround: not necessary 4. TARGET Services concerned: RTGS 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Non 6. Required SW change: Yes.	Medium	CLM	2025/06/14
PBI000000232144	Pacs.008 rejected "Past settlement date not allowed" while another went through	☐ **1. Business Scenario Description:** With every new day the "Value Date check flag" is reset to TRUE which means it is enabled **2. Issue Description:** When data propagation is skipped, the flag is accidently set to FALSE **3. Workaround:** Update flag to TRUE **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Past settlement payments are not rejected and go through **6. Required SW change:** When data propagation is skipped, make sure to initialize the value of the flag with TRUE	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16
PBI000000232155	At the List of cash transfers (RTGS, there are visible entries in the context menu items which should not be displayed are visible for the user	**1. Business Scenario Description:** At the List of cash transfers (RTGS) some items should not be visable (e.g. Revoke, Decrease and modify priority at a cash transfer another party is the debtor and the party of the logged in user is the creditor). **2. Issue Description:** There are visible entries in the context menu items which should not be displayed are visible for the user **3. Workaround:** no **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** see above **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	RTGS	2024/11/16

PBI000000230917	GUI.UC.0060 - Query and Display cash account liquidity_dashboard (CLM) - Account selection - drop down list deviating from UHB	☐ 1. Business Scenario Description: When opening a smart-select screen by clicking on the smart-select button next to a specific account type selection field on the "Query Dashboard Liquidity Overview" the specific account type(s) should be pre-selected in the smart-select screen to help with the selection of specific account(s). 2. Issue Description: For the smart-select screen of the field "CB Accounts" the additional account types "CB ECB Account" and "ECB Mirror Account" are also pre-selected, even though these account types have their own specific select fields. This can lead to the display of these account types on the display dashboard screens if in the display screen of the smart-select screen these accounts are selected. 3. Workaround: A Workaround is available, the user should either only select those accounts he wants to have displayed or if no specific account is selected only the CB accounts are displayed as intended. 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: Additional accounts would be displayed on the display screens when the user selects those accounts on the smart-select screen. The bug can only occur for parties who have these account types in their data scope. 6. Required SW change: The account types "CB ECB Account" and "ECB Mirror Account" should not be pre-selected for the smart-select screen of the field "CB Accounts".	Medium	CLM	2024/11/16
PBI000000232164	Details of "LT to Technical Account Procedure D" are not displayed in Overview of Task screen	Business Scenario Description: When entering a liquidity transfer via the RTGS GUI screen ôNew Liquidity Transfer to Technical Account Procedure Dö the correct screen is not displayed in the divider ôOverview Taskö on the screen ôDetails of Task Queueö. Issue Description: The user doesnÆt see the specific information on the screen ôDetails of Task Queueö which could be needed to control the entered task as a second user, for example the Account Number of the Instructing Agent, the Account BIC of the Instructed Agent and the End to End ID. Workaround: No workaround for the missing information in the screen ôDetails of Task Queueö for the control of a second user is available. TARGET Services concerned: T2 (RTGS) Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: This implementation leads to diminished possibilities of a second user to control the entered liquidity transfer or to edit an existing task when the screen ôNew Liquidity Transfer to Technical Account Procedure Dö was used. Required SW change: A case distinction for the task type ôEnter Liquidity Transferö depending on used input screen has to be implemented.	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000232172	PAR04 and PAR05 Visibility/usage of reports for PB user	1. Business Scenario Description: Usage of the T2-DWH PDR PAR04 2. Issue Description: PB users can see the PAR04 report in the folder for PDRs 3. Workaround: None 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2-DWH 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: PB users can see the PAR04 report in the folder for PDRs 6. Required SW change: Adjustment of the security setting so that PB users do not have access 7. CRDM object: - 8. DWH report: PAR04	Medium	DWH	2024/06/08
PBI000000231794	[C2 DASH] Query processing fails	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Sending a cash transfer order query (camt.005) which contain certain restrictions to query only cash transfers containing a certain character-time (tag 'FrDtTm') or amount (tag 'EQAmt') should result in a camt.006 response which only contains such cash transfers **2. Issue Description:** Due to a technical issue the query processing fails when one of these tags is included and no answer is returend. **3. Workaround:** Do not include the mentioned tags in the camt.005 query. Try to resend the query without given tags. **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** see above **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	Connect. Serv. and Infras	2025/06/14
PBI000000231864	[T2 IAC-B MT-V-7.0.12.0, GUI.UC.PAR05] CSLD DWH, U2A, PAR05, placing of the symbol of necessity (red asterisk)	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Usage of the T2-DWH PDR PAR05 **2. Issue Description:** The symbol for mandatory fields (red *) is missing on the prompt page for some mandatory field **3. Workaround:** None **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2-DWH **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Layout Issue	No functional impact **6. Required SW change:** Adding the missing symbol **7. CRDM object:** - **8. DWH report:** All	Medium	DWH	2024/06/08
PBI000000231211	CLM PROD PTCB	wrong information on camt.006 delta	☐ 1. Business Scenario Description: Sending a cash transfer order query (camt.005) which includes a reversed inter-service standing order liquidity transfer in it's answer (camt.006). 2. Issue Description: The credit- and debit cash accounts are interchanged in the camt.006 response related to this cash transfer. In case the target-settlement service was T2S or TIPS the transit account in CLM is referenced instead of the target cash account. 3. Workaround: not avai÷able 4. TARGET Services concerned: RTGS/CLM camt.006 delta query response 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: The credit- and debit cash accounts are interchanged in the camt.006 response related to this cash transfer☐ 6. Required SW change: Correct the interchanged debit and cash accounts in the camt.006 response	Medium	CLM	2025/06/14

PBI000000232101	[CLM/RTGS-GUI] The user name and System entity logo overlap (top left)	☐ **1. Business Scenario Description:** In the CLM/RTGS GUI, the user name is displayed next to the logo of the respective CB in the top right of the screen. **2. Issue Description:** In case the displayed user name is particularly long, the user name and the CB logo overlap so that the user name appears to be cut off. **3. Workaround:** No **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** The user name may not be clearly readable, leading to a restricted user experience. **6. Required SW change:** Reposition header elements to allow the correct display of longer user names.	Medium	OTHER	2025/06/14
PBI000000231300	[T2 IAC-B, BE-MT-7.0.14.0, Minimum Reserve] CLM U2A: screen <List of Minimum Reserve (Direct)> - excessReserveExemptionBalance is not calculated correct when runningAverage > excessReserveExemptionThreshold	1. Business Scenario Description: When querying the minimum reserve of a direct participant via the CLM GUI screen 'List of Minimum Reserve (Direct)', the field 'Excess Reserve Exemption Balance' may show an incorrect value. 2. Issue Description: Due to an implementation error, the value for the excess reserve exemption balance is not calculated correctly (e.g. when the running average is higher than the excess reserve exemption threshold and the minimum reserve requirement). 3. Workaround: None 4. TARGET Services concerned: T2 (CLM module) 5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service: An incorrect value may be displayed in the CLM GUI. 6. Required SW change: Correct the calculation of excess reserve exemption balance.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000232124	[UTEST] End to End ID for rule-based LTOs	**1. Business Scenario Description:** It's possible to assign each configured automated floor pull liquidity transfers a certain end-to-end ID which should be used by the system whenever such a liquidity transfer is created. **2. Issue Description:** Currently RTGS/CLM do not use the configured end-to-end-Id when creating an automated floor pull liquidity transfer. Instead a end to end Id belonging to a different cash account floor automated LT pull configuration is used. **3. Workaround:** No **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** End to End ID is not used as expected. **6. Required SW change:** Yes	Medium	RTGS	2025/06/14
PBI000000232199	DELTA QUERY OPTIMIZATION [FAILED RETEST -1] [T2 IAC-B MT-V.MT-4.5.36.0 NFT] A2A CLM&RTGS camt.005 not enough parallism	Under analysis	Medium	OTHER	2024/06/08
PBI000000230904	[C2 DASH] Liquidity Transfer Group update error // SystemExceptionHandler 24 AS9999 Unexpected exception - Error when the revision screen of a Liquidity Transfer Group was opened	**1. Business Scenario Description:** We have two distinct scenarios: - a duly authorised CRDM U2A user attempts to check the revision related to a Liquidity Transfer Group falling under its data scope; - a duly authorised CRDM U2A user attempts to approve a data change affecting a Liquidity Transfer Group object falling under its data scope. **2. Issue Description:** Due to a software bug, an error is displayed on the revision screen or the approval of the data change for the Liquidity Transfer Group is not possible(in case of 2-Eyes approval). In the revision screens and data changes details, at the implementation level, the management of user account visibility is common to all aggregated data (Account Monitoring Group, Banking Group, etc.). The issue arises from the fact that when the accounts that can be viewed by the user are selected, the existence of the party related to the leader ID is verified. In the Liquidity Transfer Groups, the leader party is not present, and therefore an exception is thrown. **3. Workaround:** N/A **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** the revisions are not shown correctly or the approval of the data change is not possible. **6. Required SW change:** fix the bug by omitting the check on the leader party in the case the aggregated data is a Liquidity Transfer Group. **7. CRDM object:** Liquidity Transfer Group	Medium	CRDM	2024/06/08
PBI000000231804	[SDD-CN-124] Smart select field 'Party BIC' calls pop up 'Cash Account Reference Data - Query Screen'	**1. Business Scenario Description:** The popup functionality RTGS Cash Account Reference Data û Query Screen for smart select boxes for a Party BIC or Party Name in several AS Query Screens will be changed to the popup RTGS Party Reference Data û Query Screen. This reduces the list of results displayed to the user significantly after searching with the popup functionality and leads to a consistent usage of the popup screen RTGS Party Reference Data û Query Screen for all smart select boxes for the search criteria Party BIC and Party Name. **2. Issue Description:** Several RTGS AS screens offer the possibility to search for a Party BIC or Party Name using a popup search functionality. Currently this popup is linked to the Cash Account Reference Data û Query Screen. This leads û depending on the data scope of the user - to a wide range of results when the query is not restricted by using any search criteria as the listed results are based on all existing accounts. Using the Party Reference Data û Query Screen instead of the Cash Account Reference Data û Query Screen as a popup for a Party BIC or Party Name, will reduce the result set significantly. Moreover, the Party Reference Data û Query Screen is more eiligible when searching for a Party BIC or Party Name. **3. Workaround:** Restriction of result list via the popup by setting of further search criteria.	Medium	RTGS	TBC

ID	Title	Description	Priority	Service	Date
PBI000000231871	[T2 IAC-B MT-V-7.4.1.0., GUI.UC.TRN05] CSLD DWH, U2A, TRN05, If value = 0.00 no value is shown	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Usage of T2-DWH PDR TRN05 **2. Issue Description:** Report TRN05 shows no values if there is no cash movement **3. Workaround:** None **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2-DWH **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Minor Layout Issue | Only occurs if no cash movement has taken place for a party in a month **6. Required SW change:** Adaption of Cognos, so that the report shows 0,00 instead of no value **7. CRDM object:** - **8. DWH report:** TRN05	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI000000231935	ECO0023 not triggered for A2A ANSY user Insert Payment request	**1. Business Scenario Description:** BR not triggered in case of A2A message sent by a not allowed user (different than CB user). **2. Issue Description:** Camt.050 sent by an ANSY user rejected with a technical error code (TEC) instead of a BR (ECOXXX). (BR ECO0023 Requestor unknown E023 and BR ECO0010 E010 Requestor party type not allowed) **3. Workaround:** No need since the message is rejected in any case. **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** see above **6. Required SW change:** BR sent back to the sending user **7. CRDM object:** None **8. DWH report:** None Applicable to user testing: yes	Medium	ECONS II	2024/06/08
PBI000000232168	updating a user makes it impossible for this user to connect to ECONS until the next data propagation	**1.Business Scen**ario: A duly authorized CRDM U2A user modifies the "System User Reference" field of a User falling under data scope. The modified user is employed by a party to access the ECONS2 module via U2A. **2. Issue Description:** Due to a software bug, the user with a modified "System User Reference" cannot access the ECONS2 module via U2A until the next data propagation event. **3. Workaround:** no workaround available. **4. TARGET Services concerned:** ECONS2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** this only impacts the ECONS GUI. 6. Required software change: fix the software bug in order not to block the access to the ECONS GUI for the period between the modification of the "System User Reference" and the next data propagation. **7. CRDM object:** User 8. DWH Report: N/A	Medium	CRDM	TBC
PBI000000232209	DataCorrection TGDC800 - Failed retest of UETR does not appear in TRN01	Under analysis	Medium	DWH	2024/06/08
PBI000000232229	[T2 IAC-B, MT-7.6.0.0] CLM, A2A, pacs.010 CONP: pacs.010 did not receive an ID_BUSI_TRAN and did not trigger any outbound messages	**1. Business Scenario Description:** A pacs.010 CONP message in currency DKK is received and parked although it arrived during a time valid business day phase. It should have been accepted and processed instead. In the following no outbound messages are sent. **2. Issue Description:** Due to an issue in the processing time validation the pacs.010 in currency DKK is parked instead of and not processed. **3. Workaround:** No **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Yes (Pacs.010 messages in DKK are not processed but parked.) **6. Required SW change:** Yes (processing time validation needs to be corrected) **7. CRDM object:** n/a **8. DWH report:** n/a Applicable to user testing: yes	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08
PBI000000232266	[T2 IAC MT-V.MT-V.7.6.2.0 camt.050] RTGS/CLM: camt.050 dupli-cates are not recognized by the system. Two entries in TGPY560 but only one entry in TGPY581	**1. Business Scenario Description:** A duplicate check for liquidity transfers should be executed to check whether the same liquidity transfer was already submitted in a defined timeframe. If this is the case the submitted liquidity transfer should be rejected with error E015. **2. Issue Description:** Duplicate check is not executed as expected and the same liquidity transfer is executed although it is a duplicate. **3. Workaround:** n/a **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** n/a **6. Required SW change:** Duplicate check must be adjusted. Applicable to user testing: yes, by instructing a camt.050 from MCA to MCA twice with same details.	Medium	CLM	2024/06/08

ID	Title	Description	Priority	Service	Date
PBI000000232347	[SDD-CN-129] CRDM must support camt.077 message subscription for ECMS service.	**1. Business Scenario Description:** At the end of the billing process for each service, after PDF invoices generation, BILL CoCo generates and sends camt.077 INVC messages to participants having a proper message subscription configuration for camt.077 message and for the interested service. To fully integrate ECMS in Target Services billing process, it must be possible for interested ECMS participants to receive A2A representation of the invoices generated by the platform by means of camt.077 messages. Message subscription configuration is a CRDM feature which currently does not support ECMS service, so ECMS participants canÆt receive camt.077 INVC. This is a restriction of BILLxECMS service. **2. Issue Description:** To fully integrate ECMS in Target Services billing process, it must be possible for interested ECMS participants to receive A2A representation of the invoice by means of camt.077 INVC messages generated by the platform. To receive camt.077 messages, participants must have a proper message subscription configuration in CRDM, unfortunately, this feature currently does not support ECMS service. The results in a restriction of BILL CoCo features for ECMS service because ECMS participants canÆt receive camt.077 INVC. **3. Workaround:** No workaround. **4. TARGET Services concerned:** ECMS **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:**	Medium	CRDM	TBC
PBI000000232349	[T2 PROD] DWH - IDC03 is not showing any data prior 16/02/2024	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Usage of T2-DWH PDR IDC03 **2. Issue Description:** Report can no longer display data that is prior to a CRDM change to the customer's account **3. Workaround:** None **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2-DWH **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Changes to the customer's account can lead to new entries in a DWH table used by the report. As a result, only the most recent entry is listed as "Active". The report then uses the "Valid From" date of the "Active" entry as the earliest possible date to retrieve and display data. **6. Required SW change:**	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI000000232355	[T2 IAC-B, BE-MT-V.7.6.3.0, CAMT.005 DeltaSet Query] - A2A CLM&RTGS camt.005. - failed	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Querying Cash Transfer Delta queries via A2A **2. Issue Description:** Executing a Cash Transfer Delta Query via A2A ends with an technical Error. **3. Workaround:** none **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Querying Cash Transfer Deltas in not possible **6. Required SW change:** Yes Applicable to user testing: yes	High	Other	2024/06/08
PBI000000232367	[T2][RTGS][A2A][UTEST] request on the error message <BilyAgrd>D008</BilyAgrd>	**1. Business Scenario Description:** When an ASTI pain.998 is sent to RTGS, several validation processes ensure a faultless processing of the AS batch. Amongst these validations it is checked that all BICs of the AS transfer initiation transactions are valid. In case the validation process identifies an invalid BIC, the linked AS transfer initiation transaction must be updated with the error code "D008" and both the AS transfer initiation transaction and the AS batch get the status "INVL". This enables the customer to identify which AS transfer initiation transaction has been invalid. **2. Issue Description:** The error code "D008" was reported on AS batch level, but not on AS transfer initiation transaction level. This causes inconvenience as the faulty BIC could not be identified easily by the customer and the AS batches often contain a large number of transactions. **3. Workaround:** not available **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2 **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** not available **6. Required SW change:** code change to transfer the ISO-D validation result from the AS batch level to AS transfer initiation transaction level	Medium	RTGS	TBC
PBI000000232477	DWH PROD: MIR01, incorrect interest amounts, NOLADE2HXXX	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Usage of T2-DWH PDR MIR01 **2. Issue Description:** Due to incorrect filtering in the Cognos report, the interest amounts (past and current entry) may under certain circumstances be summed up **3. Workaround:** None **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2-DWH **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Due to the summation, the report shows an interest amount that is too high. However, this is not a general problem and the DWH tables show the correct values, so the error is only in the Cognos report. **6. Required SW change:** Adjust the filtering so that only the current and correct value is displayed **7. CRDM object:** - **8. DWH report:** MIR01	Medium	DWH	TBC
PBI000000232495	comparison between TRN02 and TRN01 in Datawarehouse	**1. Business Scenario Description:** Usage of T2-DWH PDR TRN01 **2. Issue Description:** Missing payments in TRN01 (compared to TRN02) on the debit side when searching via business characteristics **3. Workaround:** None **4. TARGET Services concerned:** T2-DWH **5. Effects and restrictions on concerned TARGET Service:** Due to a faulty join in the Cognos report, some payments are not displayed on the debit side in TRN01 | However, the payments are correctly available in the tables used by the DWH **6. Required SW change:** Adaption of the Cognos report so that it shows all existing payments **7. CRDM object:** - **8. DWH report:** TRN01	Medium	DWH	TBC