

N	Page	Subsection	Original Text	Comment	Feedback to CG
1	3	2.1. Global picture	The TIPS Actors (Central Banks, TIPS participants, TIPS Reachable Parties and TIPS Instructing Parties) can choose their preferred Network Service Provider (NSP),	Does a Reachable Party need to choose a NSP?	A Reachable Party cannot sponsor an NSP. This is possible for the TIPS Participant only. The latter also on behalf of their Reachable Parties and/or Instructing Parties.
2	3	2.2. Connectivity	The participants must always subscribe to both U2A and A2A services .	This point seems not to be fixed yet. Taking note of the discussions in the MIB „Necessity for TIPS participants to access the TIPS GUI” we see the need to wait before publishing this information. Another question is, if it will be possible to have different NSPs for each Service (U2A & A2A)	With reference to NSP sponsoring and compliance check the TIPS participants have to sponsor only NSP that can offer both U2A and A2A services. Regarding the NSP CGU registration it is not mandatory for a TIPS participant to subscribe to both U2A and A2A. This aspect will need to be checked in details once the CGU registration workflow is available at the NSPs.
3	3	2.2. Connectivity	Footnote 3: For the reference data management the Central Banks can subscribe the U2A service only.	Why is this statement restricted to « for reference data management » ? We would assume that for a NCB in its role as a level 2 central bank (not becoming a TIPS participant itself) a U2A connection would be sufficient. If you see any obstacles in this view, i.e. any reasons why an A2A connection for NCBs to TIPS would be indispensable, please let us know.	With reference to NSP sponsoring and compliance check the TIPS participants have to sponsor only NSP that can offer both U2A and A2A services. The referenced bullet point and the foot note was removed because there is no obligation to subscribe to both U2A and A2A.
4	3	2.2. Connectivity	Figure 2	Is our assumption correct that Eurosystem user / Operator means 4CB and ECB access? Where are the NCBs to be found?	It means 4CB only. The ECB and the CBs are in the Participant area. We changed Participant into Actor to be more comprehensive.
5	5	2.3.1. A2A Instant messaging	The NSP provides several functionalities: Technical Sender Authentication, CGU, non-repudiation, encryption, NSP protocol transformation into and from the TIPS protocol .	We cannot find a technical description for the TIPS protocol in the TIPS connectivity requirements document, are there any other references?	This information will be available in the Connectivity Requirements final version.
6	6	2.3.2. A2A File store and forward	File transfer mode is used by TIPS Pl	Does TIPS store the messages for 14 days if the NSP is unavailable ?	Report files will be maintained in ESMIG until the NSP confirms reception by the customer
7	7	3.1. NSP sponsoring and compliance check	Central Banks and TIPS Participants can sponsor a NSP and then drive it through the compliance check process that is performed by the TIPS operator	In the second Meeting of the CG the Chair informed that Centalbanks might help NSPs if they do not find a participant which will sponsor/nominate them. Our question: Is the sponsoring/nomination linked to the usage of the NSP as service provider? If a CB has already chosen its NSP can it nominate another one without using this as its own NSP, just to make the NSP prove it is compliant?	TIPS Participants/CBs sponsoring an NSP must sign a contract with it in order to allow the latter to provide a service to the Participant/CB itself or to Reachable Parties and/or Instructing Parties designated by the Participant.
8	7	3.1. NSP sponsoring and compliance check	It will not be possible to start any new compliance check in the 6 months following the Go-live.	Is there a reason for this period? Until when has the compliance check to be completed in advance the Go-Live, also 6 months? Since the Go-Live is mentioned here, maybe an overview of the time plan regarding NSP registration for the Go-Live should be included?	During the 6 months after the go live the 4CB teams will be dedicated with highest priority to the system operation. The NSP sponsoring is planned from 29 January 2018 till 29 March 2018. The NSP compliance can last maximum 4 months.
9	8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	For this purpose the relevant CB will send an official communication to the requesting TIPS participants and to the ECB to include the NSP to the List of the Compliant TIPS NSPs .	Will this list be published ? Please let us know when a decision is made	Date not yet available. The list will be handled by the ECB.
10	8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	Figure 9	Would it be possible to match the description below the figure, with the figure, i.e. Putting the numbers 1,2,3... into the boxes inside the figure?	The figure was updated.
11	8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	Figure 9: 7. If the compliance check is completed unsuccessfully, the process is finished. The NSP may join the following compliance check window.	Is there a definition of the compliance check windows available ?	The NSP sponsoring is planned from 29 January 2018 till 29 March 2018. The NSP compliance can last maximum 4 months.
12	9	3.1.2. NSP sponsored by a Central Bank	Figure 10:	(See comment for figure 9.) Additionally: Doesn't the CB receive a confirmation of the approval?	Yes. The figure was updated.

N	Page	Subsection	Original Text	Comment	Feedback to CG
13	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	Figure 12	Once the TIPS actor is removed from the CGU, how will it be possible for him to remove his linkage with the NSP (blue box). Shouldn't this "DN-Link" be updated by the NCB. Here the Figure 12 is not consistent with the text "5. The CB updates the Reference Data in TIPS"	Yes, the figure was updated.
14	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	Figure 13	The description of Figure 9;10;11;12 are numbered, here just bullets, Why? Additionally a proposal would be to put the numbers of the text also in to boxes in the figures to make it easier for the reader.	Yes, the figure was updated.
15	13	3.2.1. TIPS Reference Data setup	Figure 14	This has to be more detailed. It is clear that in case a CB wants to be setup as CB the TIPS operator is responsible for the setup. This is not shown in Figure 14 (blue box just says Participant Data Setup). Furthermore it needs to be discussed why a CB, if already setup as CB, cannot setup itself as participant. There should be no need that this is done by the operator	Yes, the figure was updated. The CB with the role of CB will be captured by the TIPS operator. Afterwards the CB can capture the participants including itself.
16	13	3.2.1. TIPS Reference Data setup	Figure 14 1. Participants send the registration form to their CB, also on behalf of their reachable and instructing parties.	And again here it would be helpful if the numbers could be found in the boxes of the figure.	Yes, the figure was updated.
17	13	3.2.1. TIPS Reference Data setup	As described above, Central Banks can also act as a Participant. In this case the TIPS operator will be responsible for setting-up their reference data:	See Comment in Line 25	Line 25 does not seem consistent. Nevertheless we understand the comment to allow the CB to setup itself as Participant.
18	16	3.2.2. CGU subscription	Figure 15	Same as Figure 13, why couldn't the steps be numbered and matched with the boxes	Yes, the figure was updated.
19	19	6.2. Naming conventions and acronyms	Liquidity Transfer: Message requesting a transfer of liquidity between a TIPS account and the relevant RTGS account, or between two TIPS accounts belonging to the same legal entity.	From our point of view a LT is not from TIPS account to TIPS account, only T2 to TIPS or vice versa.	Comment not taken.
20	19	6.2. Naming conventions and acronyms	SSP: An integrated central technical infrastructure operated by Banca d'Italia and Deutsche Bundesbank that provides the TARGET2 and TARGET2-Securities services.	Shouldn't this be 4CB ?	No, the SSP is technically hosted and operated by Banca d'Italia and Bundesbank.
21	20	6.3. Index of Figures		Where are Figures 5,6,7,8 ?	the figures numbering was reviewed.
22	3	2.2. Connectivity	Figure 2 - TIPS overall diagram and types of network	- Diagram should indicate NCB users - We suggest to have a bilaterale relationship between ESMIG and CRDM	Yes, the figure was updated renaming TIPS Participant into TIPS Actors to include CBs.
23	9	3.1.2. NSP sponsored by a Central Bank	Point 2 under figure 10 "If the NSP is not already compliant..."	Could you please clarify how the NCB will ask the NSP to provide the technical documentation : is it in a formal way, such as a form filled by the NCB and sent to NSP ?	It was changed. It is the TIPS operator to ask the NSP.
24	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	Point 1 under figure 12 "The TIPS actor sends a communication..."	Could you please clarify what is the communication mean used by the TIPS actor : it would be useful to have a form filled by the actor to keep a track of the request	There is no guidance, it is left to the bilateral relationship.
25	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	4th point under figure 13 "the CB sends a request..."	The CB request to the TIPS operator should be made with an application form to fill	The TIPS Operator will remove the NSP related reference data included in the Registration form.
26	13	3.2.1. TIPS Reference Data setup	3rd point under figure 14 "the CB checks if the requestor"...	If the step described here is considered as a legal validation, it should be done in the 1st step, before sending registration forms	Point 3 is actually out of the scope of this process. It was removed.
27	13	3.2.1. TIPS Reference Data setup	4th point under figure 14 "the CB checks if the requestor"...	we suggest to modify the sentence as follows : "4. If the TIPS requirements are met and the forms are correct..."	Point 3 is actually out of the scope of this process. It was removed.

N	Page	Subsection	Original Text	Comment	Feedback to CG
28	7	3.1. NSP sponsoring and compliance check	General remarks	It must be clear and unambiguously visible who has to do something to ensure that an NSP can be sponsored. It should be shown in the form of a table which of the actors (Participant, Reachable Parties or Instructing Parties) has to do what and in which form to sponsor an NSP for TIPS. Our discussion in the TIPS Contact Group has shown that there are still many question marks on the part of the participants.	The connectivity guide provides all the necessary information.
29	13	3.2.1. TIPS Reference Data setup	CB registration. TIPS Operator validates the signature	How does the TIPS Operator validate the CB signatures?	Reference to the signature removed.
30	7	3.1. NSP sponsoring and compliance check	Central Banks and TIPS Participants can sponsor a NSP	As discussed in the CG also Instructing Parties can sponsor a NSP on behalf of one or more TIPS Participants, please add in text.	TIPS Participants/CBs sponsoring an NSP must sign a contract with it in order to allow the latter to provide a service to the Participant/CB itself or to Reachable Parties and/or Instructing Parties designated by the Participant.
31	8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	TIPS participants can sponsor one NSP	same, please add: or instructing parties on behalf of TIPS Participant(s)	TIPS Participants/CBs sponsoring an NSP must sign a contract with it in order to allow the latter to provide a service to the Participant/CB itself or to Reachable Parties and/or Instructing Parties designated by the Participant.
32	3	2.2. Connectivity	2nd bullet point: For the A2A, etc..	2nd line states: not support "store and forward". This contradicts with 2.3.2 first sentence: the file transfer, etc... Please explain which of the two is correct and please amend accordingly	It was amended to clarify that SnF applies to File transfer only.
33	5	2.3.1. A2A Instant messaging	page 6: First line: The exchange of data between Actor and the NSP is compliant with a protocol defined by the relevant NSP	This contradicts with UHB 1.2.1. The ESMIG interface is a gateway responsible.....and CONVERTING the NPS internal protocol.... This is according to ING correct, please amend page 6 first line accordingly.	The ESMIG converts the NSP protocol in the path between ESMIG and TIPS. The path between TIPS actor and NSP relies on NSP protocol.
34	6	2.3.3. U2A channel	3rd line: In this context the NSP has to provide MAINLY connectivity, CGU and PKI services	We agree with this: NSP has to be only technical connector; so no functional roles to be part of NPS: so we do not agree with last 2nd lines 2.3.1 : The NPS provides several functionalities: this means that NPS would be "man in the middle", which should not be the case: please clarify and amend	The functionalities to be provided by the NSP are clarified in the Connectivity Requirements. The connectivity guide is a process description document.
35	6	2.3.2. A2A File store and forward	If the receiver.... For 14 calendar days	In case of an Instant payment a storage of 14 days does not make any sense given time schedule of SCT Inst, please change.	This does not apply to Instant payments since they are not store and forward.
36	7	3. User Registration and Compliance check processes	Introduction TIPS Actors....	Next to technical compliance, please describe in this chapter also rights and obligations of both TIPS actors and TIPS. This will provide more clarity and prevents incidents.	This will be treated in the Harmonised Terms and Conditions.
37	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	TIPS actors can change...be followed:	Please describe whether this sequence can also be the other way around. If not possible please explicitly mention.	The two processes are not temporarily linked. Numbering was changed into bullet points. Figure amended as well.
38	13	3.2.1. TIPS Reference Data setup	page 14: Reachable parties, last sentence: However, the responsibility... the transaction.	In practice this is not real: please indicate where responsibilities are with reachable parties as well. Therefore described Roles & responsibilities in Connectivity Guide AND UDFS are vital.	The description of the contractual responsibilities between the parties will be defined in the Harmonised Terms and Condition and is out of the scope of the Connectivity Guide.
39	3	1. Scope	"Basically this document describes the process to registrar to TIPS and to select a Network Service Provider (NSP)"	There is a typo in "registrar"	Corrected.

N	Page	Subsection	Original Text	Comment	Feedback to CG
40	3	2.1. Global picture	<p>"The TIPS Actors (Central Banks, TIPS participants, TIPS Reachable Parties and TIPS Instructing Parties) can choose their preferred Network Service Provider (NSP), provided that the selected NSP fulfils the TIPS Connectivity Requirements and has passed the Compliance Check done by the TIPS Operator.</p> <p>The TIPS Actor and an NSP can establish a contractual relationship only after the successful completion of the compliance check phase."</p>	<p>During our 2nd meeting there was told there only CB and Participant can nominate NSP. This extract suggests that all the TIPS Actors are authorised to do this. In my opinion there is a need to clarify the rules related to the processes concerning NSP connection, eg. which TIPS Actors are eligible to nominate NSP, which ones have to sign the contractual agreements with the NSP ect.</p> <p>Apart from that, the extract "provided that the selected NSP fulfills the TIPS Connectivity Requirements and has passed the Compliance Check done by the TIPS Operator" could be misunderstood - it suggests that firstly the NSP should pass the compliance check, and only after the successful outcome of this process the TIPS Actor can nominate this particular NSP as its preferred NSP.</p>	TIPS Participants/CBs sponsoring an NSP must sign a contract with it in order to allow the latter to provide a service to the Participant/CB itself or to Reachable Parties and/or Instructing Parties designated by the Participant.
41	3	2.1. Global picture	"The TIPS Actors (Central Banks, TIPS participants, TIPS Reachable Parties and TIPS Instructing Parties)"	I suppose in relation to the TIPS Actors names are applicable the definitions presented in the Glossary included in the UDFS? So I suggest that all the terms are written with a capital letter, e.g. TIPS Participants.	Corrected.
42	3	2.2. Connectivity	"For the reference data management the Central Banks can subscribe the U2A service only."	Shouldn't be "subscribe to the U2A service only"? (typo)	Corrected.
43	3	2.2. Connectivity	FIGURE 1 – TOWER OF BABEL CONNECTIVITY MODEL	On the diagram the PSP1 and PSP4 established connections with 2 different NSPs, so they has 2 different connection channels available. What is the reason - is it for security purposes or maybe it is temporary situation when changing the NSP? Could you please give the appropriate explanation in the document?	It is possible to set up more than one NSP to send traffic to TIPS, but only one to receive.
44	3	2.2. Connectivity	FIGURE 2 – TIPS OVERALL DIAGRAM AND TYPES OF NETWORKS	Could you please provide the description concerning the figure 2 (giving details about the elements listed in the figure, the proceses illustrated by the arrows and the interactions between them)?	This is out of the scope of the Connectivity Guide. Further details available in the Connectivity Requirements and in the UDFS.
45	5	2.3.1. A2A Instant messaging	"(...) the TIPS Platform communicates with its participants (...)"	Is the term "participants" used here in the meaning defined in the UDFS Glossary? If so, it should be written with a capital letter. If not maybe the other denomination should be used (TIPS Actors)?	Corrected.
46	6	2.3.2. A2A File store and forward	"File transfer mode is used by TIPS Platform only for outgoing exchanges, there is no business case which foresees its use for communications from the TIPS actor to the TIPS platform."	In the document sometimes some terms (TIPS Platform, TIPS Actors, Closed Group of Users) are written with a capital letter and the other time - with small letters. I think it needs unification and the indication to the relevant glossary (included in this very document or in the UDFS).	Corrected.
47	7	3.1. NSP sponsoring and compliance check	<p>"Central Banks and TIPS Participants can sponsor a NSP and then drive it through the compliance check process that is performed by the TIPS operator."</p>	<p>If the only TIPS Actors which can nominate NSP are CBs and TIPS Participants, than Reachable Parties or Instructing Parties are not able to use the other NSP? So all in all they may choose only from the NSPs which have already been certified or nominated by CBS or TIPS Participants?</p> <p>If I understand correctly, Participant which nominates the given NSP is not obliged to enter into contractual agreement with this NSP, even if the latter has passed the compliance check process? Could you please include these rules in the document?</p>	TIPS Participants/CBs sponsoring an NSP must sign a contract with it in order to allow the latter to provide a service to the Participant/CB itself or to Reachable Parties and/or Instructing Parties designated by the Participant.

N	Page	Subsection	Original Text	Comment	Feedback to CG
48	7	3.1. NSP sponsoring and compliance check	"The NSP compliance check process aims to ensure that the Connectivity Solution provided by the NSP chosen by the TIPS Actor fulfils the requirements described in the TIPS Connectivity Requirements."	TIPS Connectivity Requirements v.1.0 dated 21/04/2017 is the final version or it will be amended?	No. The final version is to be provided.
49	7	3.1. NSP sponsoring and compliance check	"It will not be possible to start any new compliance check in the 6 months following the Go-live."	This sentence seems not very clear. Does it mean TIPS Participant is not able to change the NSP in the 6 months period following its go-live? I think the clarification might be helpful.	It can change to another compliant NSP.
50	8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	"For this purpose the relevant CB will send an official communication to the requesting TIPS participants and to the ECB to include the NSP to the List of the Compliant TIPS NSPs."	Does this List of the Compliant TIPS NSPs will be published in the ECB site? I think it could be helpful.	The list will be handled by the ECB.
51	8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	FIGURE 4 – NSP COMPLIANCE CHECK PROCESS FOR A TIPS PARTICIPANT	I think the part of the diagram illustrating the compliance check process performed by TIPS operator might be misleading. Maybe the rectangular "compliance check" should concern only the documentation (e.g. "documentation compliance check") and the rectangular "Delivers connectivity components for <<real>> testing" should lead to the "NSP compliant" diamond.	Figure updated.
52	8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	Point 4b: "If the documentation check is not successful, the process is finished. The NSP may join the following compliance check window."	I think it is not illustrated correctly in the diagram above - the diagram suggests that in case of documentation compliance check failure the process is not finished but could be repeated without the necessity of waiting to the next compliance check window.	Figure updated.
53	8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	Point 7: "If the compliance check is completed unsuccessfully, the process is finished. The NSP may join the following compliance check window."	Could the decision on joining the following compliance window be made directly by the NSP or it requires the intermediation and cooperation with the relevant Central Bank?	It requires the intermediation and the cooperation of the sponsoring TIPS Participant/CB.
54	9	3.1.2. NSP sponsored by a Central Bank	FIGURE 5 – NSP COMPLIANCE CHECK PROCESS FOR A CENTRAL BANK	I suppose one arrow is missing (the one joining the rectangulars "Provides documentation on their technical solution..." and "Compliance check". Apart from that in my opinion the part of the diagram illustrating the compliance check process performed by TIPS operator might be misleading. Maybe the rectangular "compliance check" should concern only the documentation (e.g. "documentation compliance check") and the rectangular "Delivers connectivity components for <<real>> testing" should lead to the "NSP compliant" diamond.	Figure updated.
55	9	3.1.2. NSP sponsored by a Central Bank	Point 4b: "If the documentation check is not successful, the process is finished. The NSP may join the following compliance check window."	I think it is not illustrated correctly in the diagram above - the diagram suggests that in case of documentation compliance check failure the process is not finished but could be repeated without the necessity of waiting to the next compliance check window.	Figure updated.
56	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	FIGURE 7 – NSP DISMISSAL FOR A TIPS PARTICIPANT	The abbreviation of the term Closed Group of Users appeared sometimes in text as CGU, and in other cases as CUG (in the diagram).	Figure updated.

N	Page	Subsection	Original Text	Comment	Feedback to CG
57	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	FIGURE 7 – NSP DISMISSAL FOR A TIPS PARTICIPANT: "NSP sends a communication to NCB once the TIPS actor dismissal is completed"	I suppose it should be "NSP sends a confirmation...". The term NCB should be defined in the glossary (generally in the documentation it is used CB defined in the UDFS glossary). I think there is a typo in the "TIPs actor" - shouldn't be "TIPS Actor"? The same remark concerns "NSP removes all TIPS actor users certificates" rectangular.	Figure updated.
58	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	FIGURE 7 – NSP DISMISSAL FOR A TIPS PARTICIPANT	The e-mails exchanged between TIPS Actors should be encoded? Maybe this rule could be included in the process description below?	There is no guidance, it is left to the bilateral relationship.
59	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	FIGURE 7 – NSP DISMISSAL FOR A TIPS PARTICIPANT: "TIPS Actor deletes the linkage with the NSP in TIPS"	I think the description of the process do not comprises all the steps presented in the diagram. How is the step "TIPS Actor deletes the linkage with the NSP in TIPS" performed? Shouldn't it be done in the TIPS by TIPS operator?	Figure updated.
60	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	FIGURE 8 – NSP DISMISSAL FOR A CB: "The NSP checks the validity of the signature and removes the CB from the CGU"	Shouldn't be "The NSP removes all CB users certificates" instead of "The NSP checks the validity of the signature"?	The sentence about signature validation was removed.
61	13	3.2.1. TIPS Reference Data setup	"The CBs send the registration form to the TIPS Operator."	I think after this sentence should be added "also on behalf of their reachable and instructing parties.", just like in the TIPS Participant registration proces description. By the way, the terms defined in the glossary (Reachable Party, Instructing Party) should be written wih a capital letter.	The CB with the role of CB will be captured by the TIPS operator: in this case the CBs send the form to the TIPS operator. Afterwards the CB can capture the any kind of TIPS actors itself.
62	16	3.2.2. CGU subscription	"the new subscription shall be scheduled and activated ensuring the availability of the service (e.g. adopting the "rolling update" approach)."	It is not fully clear for me. Could you please clarify this in more detail?	Once the activation timing of the NSP is known, the TIPS actors have to submit the form in advance to ensure that the CGU is open when needed.
63	16	3.2.2. CGU subscription	FIGURE 10 – CGU SUBSCRIPTION WORKFLOW	I suppose the answer to the approval request should be sent by CB and TIPS Operator to the NSP. It is not illustrated on the diagram. Moreover the outcome of the TIPS Operator verification is provided to the TIPS Participant. I think it should be delivered to the NSP, and then NSP should inform aout the approval or rejestion the TIPS Participant.	This question will be answered in details once each NSP CGU workflow is available.
64	16	3.2.2. CGU subscription	FIGURE 10 – CGU SUBSCRIPTION WORKFLOW	TIPS Actor on the diagram should be only TIPS Participant or CB? There should be separate diagram variant in case of the request sent directly by the CB.	Figure added.
65	18	4. NSP documentation	"The procedure to procure the certificates is described in the NSPs User documentation."	Is there any guide providing more exhaustive information on the data required to be included in the NSPs User documentation?	This information will be availble in the Connectivity Requirements final version.
66	16	3.2.2. CGU subscription	Figure 10 CGU	who (the NSP/the TIPS Actor) takes the initiative to enable a TIPS actor to become a member in the CGU? According to the Figure the TIPS Actor contacts the NSP via the Website. And the CB is informed via the NSP? Could you please further specify?	This question will be answered in details once each NSP CGU workflow is available.
67	18	6.1. References	TIPS Compliance Check	Please include the date of the first / next version	The NSP sponsoring is planned from 29 January 2018 till 29 March 2018. The NSP compliance can last maximum 4 months. The next Compliance check window will start at the earliest 6 months after Go Live.
68	3	2.1. Global picture	The TIPS Actor and an NSP can establish a contractual relationship only after the successful completion of the compliance check phase.	Please clarify this point because currently this is not possible as no NSP is yet complaint. According to the 2nd TIPS meeting this issue has been delaying internal projects of payment banks.	TIPS Participants/CBs sponsoring an NSP must sign a contract with it in order to allow the latter to provide a service to the Participant/CB itself or to Reachable Parties and/or Instructing Parties designated by the Participant.

N	Page	Subsection	Original Text	Comment	Feedback to CG
69	3	2.2. Connectivity	For the reference data management the Central Banks can subscribe the U2A service only.	For the reference data management the Central Banks may decide to subscribe the U2A service only.	Comment not taken.
70	3	2.2. Connectivity	FIGURE 1 – TOWER OF BABEL CONNECTIVITY MODEL	This figure could include further relationships between TIPS participants, Reachable parties and Instructing Parties (not only PSPs) and demonstrate that these relationships may be held with different NSPs (for instance, the relationship between TIPS and a TIPS participant may be managed via one NSP while the relationship between this TIPS participant and one of its Reachable Parties may be managed via a different NSP).	Comment not taken. This is out of scope of the Connectivity Guide.
71	8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	Form to be provided	By whom and when?	By the 4CB by the Contact Group meeting in January.
72	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	FIGURE 11 – NSP CHANGE PROCESS	Figure 11 should explicitly show both processes as simultaneous.	Figure updated.
73	3	2.2. Connectivity		Is "TIPS back-up" (figure 2) the same as the "TIPS site 2" (figure 1)?	Yes
74	3	2.2. Connectivity	Figure 2	The figure seems to show that the Eurosystem user/ Operator can connect to TIPS in U2A via 4CBNet. Would it mean that a NCB can use this connection? Would it be sufficient to fulfil all the communication requirements (static data, billing file, general ledger file, Trouble Management System) in TIPS?	No, this connection is available for 4CB only.
75	3	2.2. Connectivity		Would it be possible and sufficient for CBs (acting as central bank only) to use Corenet to connect to TIPS?	Yes if the Corenet provider is sponsored and passes the compliance check.
76	3	2.2. Connectivity	The participants must always subscribe to both U2A and A2A services - footnote: For the reference data management the Central Banks can subscribe the U2A service only.	Please clarify if a central bank needs to subscribe A2A communication mode to fulfill all its responsibilities as central bank (not acting as Participant).	No, U2A is sufficient.
77	8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	compliance check window	When will the compliance check windows be published?	The NSP sponsoring is planned from 29 January 2018 till 29 March 2018. The NSP compliance can last maximum 4 months. The next Compliance check window will start at the earliest 6 months after Go Live.
78	8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	figure 9	the figure does not display the "yes" to the box "NSP already compliant"	Figure updated.
(8	3.1.1. NSP sponsored by a TIPS Participant	List of the Compliant TIPS NSPs	How shall the list be shared?	The list will be handled by the ECB.
80	11	3.1.3. NSP change process		align wording used in the section: "dismissal" or "discontinuance"	Updated.
81	11	3.1.3. NSP change process		Do the dismissal of a NSP and the use of a new NSP processes need to be coordinated, to ensure the Participant does not encounter NSP service interruption?	This is under the responsibility of the TIPS actor
82	11	3.1.3. NSP change process	TIPS actors can change the NSP currently used to access the TIPS services...	As the sponsorship of a NSP is of the responsibility of a Participant (or CB), can the any Actor dismiss a NSP or only the Participant?	TIPS Participants/CBs sponsoring an NSP must sign a contract with it in order to allow the latter to provide a service to the Participant/CB itself or to Reachable Parties and/or Instructing Parties designated by the Participant.
83	13	3.2.1. TIPS Reference Data setup	figure 14, Participants	align wording and colour with other figures in the document	As a result if a RP or an IP want to dismiss an NSP, they have to rely on their TIPS participant who signed the contract with that NSP.
84	13	3.2.1. TIPS Reference Data setup	figure 14 - blue boxes	Do the word "participant" in the blue boxes refer to "central bank"?	Figure updated.
85	19	6.2. Naming conventions and acronyms	European Single Market Infrastructure Gateway ESMIG	Eurosystem...	Corrected.